

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.ABRAHAM MATHEW

FRIDAY, THE 29TH DAY OF MAY 2015/8TH JYAISHTA, 1937

Crl.MC.No.3022 of 2015

**CC NO.1007/2014 OF THE JUDICIAL FIRST CLASS MAGISTRATE COURT-I,
CHERTHALA.
CRIME NO.615/2014 OF CHERTHALA POLICE STATION,ALAPPUZHA.**

..

PETITIONER'S ACCUSED NO.1 & 2:

1. **SREEJITH M.K, AGED 31 YEARS,
S/O.MURALIDHARA SHENOY, KOOTUMGAL HOUSE,
NEART.D.TEMPLE, CHERTHALA P.O - 688 524.**
2. **ANASOOYA, AGED 56 YEARS, W/O.MURALIDHARA SHENOY,
KOOTUMGAL HOUSE, NEAR T.D.TEMPLE,
CHERTHALA P.O - 688 524.**

BY ADV.SRI.R.KRISHNAKUMAR (CHERTHALA)

RESPONDENT'S/STATE & COMPLAINANT:

1. **STATE OF KERALA, REP. BY SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
(CRIME NO.615/2014 CHERTHALA POLICE STATION,
CHERTHALA, REP. BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT OF KERALA, ERNAKULAM - 688 524.**
2. **USHA SOURABHYA, AGED 26 YEARS,
D/O.G.LAKSHMANA PAI, PANJARAVALLY PARAMBU,
PANANGAD P.O., ERNAKULAM DISTRICT - 682 506.**

**R1 BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR SRI.DHANESH MATHEW MANJOORAN.
R2 BY ADV.SMT.KRISHNA RAJENDRAN**

**THIS CRIMINAL MISC. CASE HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 29-05-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE
FOLLOWING:**

pk

Crl.MC.No.3022 of 2015

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S ANNEXURES:

**ANNEXURE-A1:A TRUE COPY OF THE FINAL REPORT IN CRIME NO.615/2014 OF
CERTHALA POLICE STATION.**

**ANNEXURE-A2:A TRUE COPY OF THE AGREEMENT UNDER SEC.89 OF THE
CPCR/W. R24 OF THE CIVIL PROCEDURE (ALTERNATIVE
DISPUTE RESOLUTION) RULES, 2008 DT.NIL.**

RESPONDENT'S ANNEXURES:

NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.S. TO JUDGE

pk

K. ABRAHAM MATHEW, J.

=====

Crl. M.C.No. 3022 of 2015 (B)

=====

Dated this the 29th day of May, 2015

ORDER

Petition filed under section 482 Cr.P.C.

2. First petitioner is the husband of the second respondent. The second petitioner is the mother of the first petitioner. The petitioners have allegedly committed the offences under section 498A read with 34 of IPC. It is submitted that the matter has been settled and the prayer is to quash the proceedings in the criminal case.

3. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the second respondent and the learned Public Prosecutor.

4. The second respondent has filed an affidavit stating that the matter has been settled and she has no objection to the proceedings in the criminal case being quashed. I am satisfied that the allegation is true and no public interest is involved in this case.

In the result, this Crl. M.C. is allowed. The proceedings in C.C. No. 1007 of 2014 on the file of the Judicial First Class Magistrate-I, Cherthala are quashed.

Sd/-

**K. ABRAHAM MATHEW
JUDGE**

DST

//True Copy//

P.A. To Judge