

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P.UBAID

TUESDAY, THE 5TH DAY OF MAY 2015/15TH VAISAKHA, 1937

Crl.MC.No. 1913 of 2015

CRIME NO. 985/2014 OF ANCHALUMMOODU POLICE STATION , KOLLAM

PETITIONER(S)/ACCUSED:

1. **BINDHU.T.O, AGED 37 YEARS**
D/O. SUDERESAN, SUBHINALAYAM, PERINADU P.O., KOLLAM.
2. **SEEMA JOHNSON, AGED 38 YEARS,**
W/O. JOHNSON MILTON, THAZHETHUNDIL VEEDU
KUREEPUZHA P.O., THRIKKADAVOOR VILLAGE, KOLLAM.
3. **GIRIJA, AGED 47 YEARS,**
W/O. JAYAPRAKASH, GIRIJA BHAVANAM, KUREEPUZHA CHERRY
THRIKADAVOOR VILLAGE, KOLLAM.
4. **AMMINI @ SINDHU, AGED 47 YEARS,**
W/O. REJIMON, PARAPPALLIKIZHAKKATHIL, KUREEPUZHA P.O.
THRIKKADAVOOR VILLAGE, KOLLAM.

BY ADVS.SRI.K.SIJU
SMT.S.SEETHA

RESPONDENT(S)/DE FACTO COMPLAINANT AND STATE:

1. **STATE OF KERALA**
REPRESENTED BY SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE
ANCHALUMMOODU POLICE STATION
THROUGH THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, HIGH COURT OF KERALA
ERNAKULAM-682031.
2. **SUSHAMA ULLAS, AGED 45 YEARS,**
D/O. SARASWATHI, MENALIKKADA VEEDU, ASHTTAMUDI CHERRI
THRIKKARUVA VILLAGE, KOLLAM DISTRICT-691361.

R1 BY SENIOR PUBLIC PROSECUTOR SRI.P.K.ABDUL RAHIMAN
R2 BY ADV. SRI.A.MUHAMMED RAFFI

THIS CRIMINAL MISC. CASE HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 05-05-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

APPENDIX

PETITIONERS' ANNEXURES

**ANNEXURE 1: COPY OF OF FIR WITH FIS IN CRIME NO.985/14 OF ANCHALUMMOODU
POLICE STATION**

**ANNEXURE 2: COPY OF AFFIDAVIT FILED BY THE R2 BEFORE THE JFMC-1, KOLLAM
ON 1/7/14**

**ANNEXURE 3: THE AFFIDAVIT SWORN BY THE R2/DE-FACTO COMPLAINANT ON
16/2/15 REGARDING SETTLEMENT.**

RESPONDENTS' ANNEXURE

NIL.

/ TRUE COPY /

P.S. TO JUDGE

PJ

P. UBAID, J.

Crl.M.C.No.1913 of 2015

Dated this the 5th day of May, 2015

O R D E R

The petitioners herein are the accused Nos.1 to 4 in Crime No. 985/2014 of the Anchalummoodu Police Station, registered under Sections 13 and 17 of the Kerala Money Lenders Act. The 5th accused in the crime died pending investigation. The petitioners seek orders quashing the proceeding on the ground of amicable settlement of the dispute. Now, the learned Public Prosecutor submits that during investigation the police found that the allegation against the petitioners is baseless, and that final report referring the crime is being prepared by the police. The learned Public Prosecutor submits that the final report will be submitted in court without any delay. In such a situation this Crl.M.C. need not proceed. If the petitioners are, in any manner, aggrieved by the final report, they can bring proper proceeding in such a situation.

In the result, the submission made by the learned Public Prosecutor is recorded, and the Crl.M.C. is closed.

Sd/-

P. UBAID, JUDGE