

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.ABRAHAM MATHEW

FRIDAY, THE 22ND DAY OF MAY 2015/1ST JYAISHTA, 1937

CrI.MC.No. 1705 of 2015 ()

CC.NO. 581/2013 OF JUDICIAL FIRST CLASS MAGISTRATE COURT-I, NEDUMANGAD
CRIME NO. 231/2013 OF VATTAPPARA POLICE STATION, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM

PETITIONER/ACCUSED :

PRAKASH, AGED 42,
S/O.SELVANOS, SALOM BHAVAN, MALAPPARIKONAM
MARUTHOOR DESOM, VATTAPPARA VILLAGE
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

BY ADV. SRI.D.AJITHKUMAR

RESPONDENTS/STATE & DE-FACTO COMPLAINANT :

-
- 1. STATE OF KERALA,**
REPRESENTED BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT OF KERALA
ERNAKULAM-682031.
 - 2. SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE,**
VATTAPPARA, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.
 - 3. PUSHPA RANI, AGED 37,**
D/O.VIJAYAMMA, SALOM BHAVAN, MALAPPARIKONAM
MARUTHOOR DESOM, VATTAPPARA VILLAGE
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

R1 & R2 BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR SRI. DHANESH MATHEW MANJOORAN
R3 BY ADV. DR.K.P.PRADEEP

THIS CRIMINAL MISC. CASE HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 22-05-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

Mn

...2/-

Crl.MC.No. 1705 of 2015 ()

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' ANNEXURES :

**ANNEXURE A : TRUE COPY OF THE FIR IN CRIME NO.231/2013 BY THE
 VATTAPPARA POLICE.**

**ANNEXURE B : CERTIFIED COPY OF THE FINAL REPORT IN C.C.NO.581
 OF 2013 PENDING ON THE FILES OF THE JUDICIAL FIRST
 CLASS MAGISTRATE COURT, NEDUMANGAD,
 THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, IN CRIME NO.231 OF 2013 BY THE
 VATTAPPARA POLICE.**

ANNEXURE C : AFFIDAVIT OF THE DE-FACTO COMPLAINANT

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS : NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.A. TO JUDGE

Mn

K. ABRAHAM MATHEW, J.

.....
Crl. M.C. No.1705 of 2015 (C)
.....

Dated this the 22nd day of May, 2015

ORDER

The petitioner is the husband of the third respondent in C.C. No. 581 of 2013 on the file of the Judicial Magistrate of the First Class, Nedumangad. He is charged with having committed the offence under section 498A IPC. On the ground that the matter has been settled between the parties he prays for quashing the proceedings in the criminal case.

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the third respondent and the learned Public Prosecutor.

3. The third respondent has filed an affidavit to effect that the dispute has been settled and she has no objection to the proceedings in the criminal case being quashed. The petitioner and the third respondent are living together now. I am satisfied that the allegations are true and no public interest is involved in this case.

In the result, the application is allowed. The

proceedings in the C.C. No. 581 of 2013 on the file of the Judicial Magistrate of the First Class, Nedumangad are quashed.

DST

Sd/-
K. ABRAHAM MATHEW
JUDGE

//True Copy//

P.A. o Judge