

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P.UBAID

MONDAY, THE 9TH DAY OF MARCH 2015/18TH PHALGUNA, 1936

Crl.MC.No. 1448 of 2015 ()

IN CC 1571/2014 of J.M.F.C - I, KOTTAYAM

PETITIONER(S):

- 1. RAJESWARI S PILLAI AGED 39 YEARS
D/O.SHANMUGHAM PILLAI, ST.JUDE VILLA
ANATHANAM MANDANAM KARA, VIJAYAPURAM VILLAGE
KOTTAYAM TALUK/ DISTRICT, PIN.686 501.**
- 2. V.A. JOHN, S/O.JOSEPH AGED 45 YEARS
ST.JUDE VILLA, ANATHANAM MANDANAM KARA
VIJAYAPURAM VILLAGE, KOTTAYAM TALUK/ DISTRICT
PIN.686 501.**

BY ADV. SRI.P.K.RAVISANKAR

RESPONDENT(S)/STATE:

**STATE OF KERALA
REPRESENTED BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT OF KERALA, ERNAKULAM.**

R BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR0 SMT. SAREENA GEORGE. P.

**THIS CRIMINAL MISC. CASE HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 09-03-2015, THE
COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:**

Crl.MC.No. 1448 of 2015 ()

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

ANNX.A - A TRUE COPY OF THE RELEVANT EXTRACT OF 'A' CHARGE NUMBERED AS CC 494 OF 2010 ON THE FILE OF JUDICIAL FIRST CLASS MAGISTRATE COURT NO.1, KOTTAYAM.

ANNX.B - TRUE COPY OF THE RELEVANT EXTRACT OF 'B' CHARGE NUMBERED AS CC 1571 OF 2014 ON THE FILE OF JUDICIAL FIRST CLASS MAGISTRATE COURT NO.I, KOTTAYAM.

ANNX.C - TRUE COPY OF THE RELEVANT EXTRACT OF 'C' CHARGE NUMBERED AS CC 1572 OF 2014 ON THE FILE OF JUDICIAL FIRST CLASS MAGISTRATE COURT NO.I, KOTTAYAM.

ANNX.D - TRUE COPY OF THE RELEVANT EXTRACT OF 'D' CHARGE NUMBERED AS CC 1573 OF 2014 ON THE FILE OF JUDICIAL FIRST CLASS MAGISTRATE COURT NO.I, KOTTAYAM.

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS: NIL

/TRUE COPY/

PATO JUDGE
sab

P.UBAID, J.

Crl. M.C No. 1448 of 2015

Dated this the 9th day of March, 2015.

O R D E R

The petitioners herein are the accused in four cases before the Judicial First Class Magistrate Court-I, Kottayam, filed on four final reports in a single crime. On the final report submitted as charge sheets A to D, the learned Magistrate took cognizance separately, and there are four cases now. It is submitted that the petitioners are already on bail in the crime, and the bail bond is now part of the files on the first charge. Their apprehension is that the learned Magistrate will insist on execution of different bail bonds in the other three cases. It is made clear that once a bail bond is executed in the crime it can be enforced in all the cases, because the different cases happened to be registered on split up charges in the same crime. However, if felt necessary, modification of the bond as regards the bond amount, to cover all the cases, can be ordered by the Court. I do not think that the learned Magistrate

will insist on execution of different bail bonds in the four cases. If the accused fails to appear in any of the cases, proceedings under Section 446 Cr.P.C can well be initiated on the bail bond already executed in the crime.

With these observations, this Crl.M.C is closed.

P.UBAID,
JUDGE

sab