

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P.UBAID

FRIDAY, THE 30TH DAY OF JANUARY 2015/10TH MAGHA, 1936

Cr1.MC.No. 602 of 2015

IN CC 70/2014 OF THE JUDICIAL FIRST CLASS MAGISTRATE COURT,
ADOOR

PETITIONERS/ACCUSED 1 & 2:

1. SOJU,
MADHAVAM HOUSE, KAITHAKKAL MURI,
PALLIKKAL VILLAGE.
2. SREEJU,
BAIJU VIHAR, KAITHAKKAL MURI,
PALLIKKAL VILLAGE.

BY ADVS.SRI.T.P.PRADEEP
SRI.P.K.SATHEES KUMAR

RESPONDENTS/STATE AND DEFACTO COMPLAINANT:

1. THE STATE OF KERALA
REPRESENTED BY THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT OF KERALA, ERNAKULAM.
2. ANOOP,
KAVULLATHIL PADITTATHIL, CHERUKUNNAM MURI,
PALICKAL VILLAGE, ADOOR-691523.

R2 BY ADV. SRI.UNNI. K.K. (EZHUMATTOOR)
R1 BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR SMT.S.HYMA

THIS CRIMINAL MISC. CASE HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 30-01-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

Cr1.MC.No. 602 of 2015

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S ANNEXURES:

ANNEXURE A: COPY OF THE CHARGE SHEET IN C.C NO.70/2014

ANNEXURE B: COPY OF AFFIDAVIT OF THE 2ND RESPONDENT.

RESPONDENTS' ANNEXURES:

NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.A TO JUDGE

ab

P.UBAID, J.

Crl.M.C No.602 of 2015

Dated this the 30th day of January, 2015

O R D E R

The petitioners herein are the accused Nos.1 & 2 in C.C No.70/2014 of the Judicial First Class Magistrate Court, Adoor. They seek orders quashing the prosecution on the ground of amicable settlement of the whole dispute between them and the de facto complainant. Crime in this case was registered under Sections 341 and 323 r/w 34 of the Indian Penal Code on the complaint of one Anoop who is the 2nd respondent in this proceeding brought under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure. He has filed affidavit to the effect that he has settled the whole dispute with the accused, and he has no grievance or complaint now.

2. In so many decisions, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has held that even in cases involving non-compoundable offences, the High Court can quash the prosecution in pending proceedings, if the parties have really settled the whole dispute amicably out of court, and continuance of the prosecution will not serve any purpose in such a circumstance of amicable settlement. Here, I find a real and genuine case of settlement

between the parties. This is not a case involving any public interest or public issue. The parties have come to terms amicably on the intervention of persons acceptable to both sides, and I am satisfied that the parties are now on quite cordial terms. In such a situation, continuance of the prosecution will not serve any purpose other than wasting the precious time of the court. No doubt, nobody will support the prosecution in such a situation, if the case goes to trial. The prosecution in the counter case in C.C No.69/2014 of the same court stands quashed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

In the result, this petition is allowed. The prosecution against the petitioners herein in C.C No.70/2014 of the Judicial First Class Magistrate Court, Adoor will stand quashed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure. Accordingly, the petitioners will stand released from prosecution, and the bail bond, if any, executed by them will stand discharged.

**P.UBAID
JUDGE**

ab