

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE B.KEMAL PASHA

WEDNESDAY, THE 2ND DAY OF SEPTEMBER 2015/11TH BHADRA, 1937

Crl.MC.No. 420 of 2015 ()

CRIME NO. 1579/2014 OF ANCHALUMMOODU POLICE STATION, KOLLAM DISTRICT.

.....

PETITIONER(S):

**SINDHU DEVIYAMMA, D/O.RAMACHANDRAN PILLA,
AGED 36 YEARS, THAZHAVA, KAVINTE THEKKETHIL,
THODIYOOR NORTH P.O., KARUNAGAPPALLY,
KOLLAM DISTRICT.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.SUKUL KHADER,
SRI.PEARSON S.FERNANDEZ.**

RESPONDENT(S):

- 1. THE SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
ANCHALUMMOODU POLICE STATION,
KOLLAM DISTRICT.**
- 2. THE CITY POLICE COMMISSIONER,
KOLLAM CITY, KOLLAM DISTRICT.**
- 3. K. RAMACHANDRAN PILLA, AGED 64 YEARS,
JAYESH BHAVAN, CHEMMAKKADU P.O.,
PANAYAM, KOLLAM-691 004.**

R1 & R2 BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR SMT.SAREENA GEORGE.

**THIS CRIMINAL MISC. CASE HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 02-09-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE
FOLLOWING:**

rs.

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S ANNEXURES:-

- ANNEXURE A1** **FIR NO.1579/2014 OF ANCHALUMMOODU POLICE STATION.**
- ANNEXURE A2** **COPY OF THE COMPLAINT CMP NO.799/2014 FILED BY THE
THIRD RESPONDENT BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDICIAL
FIRST CLASS MAGISTRATE COURT NO.1, KOLLAM.**
- ANNEXURE A3** **COPY OF THE REPLY NOTICE CAUSED TO BE SENT BY THE
PETITIONER TO THE THIRD RESPONDENT.**
- ANNEXURE A4** **COPY OF THE COMPLAINT OF THE PETITIONER PREFERRED
BY THE PETITIONER BEFORE THE SECOND RESPONDENT
AGAINST THE THIRD RESPONDENT.**
- ANNEXURE A5** **COPY OF THE COMPLAINT OF THE PETITIONER SENT TO THE
SECOND RESPONDENT DATED 07/08/2015.**

RESPONDENT'S ANNEXURES:-

- ANNEXURE R2A** **PETITION OF THE PETITIONER.**
- ANNEXURE R2B** **REPORT OF SI ANCHALUMMOODU.**
- ANNEXURE R2C** **COPY OF THE PETITION SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER
ON 08/08/2015.**
- ANNEXURE R2D** **COPY OF THE FIR IN CRIME NO.1164/2015.**

//TRUE COPY//

P.S. TO JUDGE

rs.

B. KEMAL PASHA, J.

.....
Crl.M.C. No.420 of 2015
.....

Dated this the 2nd day of September, 2015

ORDER

~ ~ ~ ~ ~

The accused in Crime No.1579/2014 of Anchalumoodu Police Station has come up with the prayer to quash the crime registered against her. The crime has been registered for the offence under Section 420 IPC. Even though the transaction is relating to a cheque that was allegedly issued by the petitioner, it was subsequently revealed on presentation that the signature affixed in the cheque differs from the signature of the actual account holder to whom the cheque leaf was issued from the bank. It is too premature at present to say whether any offence under Section 420 IPC can be made out or not. At this stage, it is not feasible and just to quash the crime registered against the petitioner.

With the said observations, this Crl.M.C. is closed.

Sd/-
(B.KEMAL PASHA, JUDGE)

aks/02/09