

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE B.KEMAL PASHA

MONDAY, THE 2ND DAY OF FEBRUARY 2015/13TH MAGHA, 1936

Crl.MC.No. 358 of 2015

CRIME NO. 366/2014 OF VENJARAMOODU POLICE STATION, THIRUVANANDAPURAM.
.....

PETITIONER(S)/ACCUSED:

1. SAJU RAJ, S/O.RAJAN BABU,
AGED 31, SHOBHANA VILASAM,
KOLIYAKKODU, KOLIYAKKODU,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.
2. SHOBHANA KUMARI, W/O.RAJAN BABU,
AGED 51, SHOBHANA VILASAM,
KOLIYAKKODU,KOLIYAKKODU,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

BY ADV. SRI.LIJU. M.P

RESPONDENT(S)/DEFACTO COMPLAINANT AND COMPLAINANT:

1. SURABHI, D/O.RAGINI, AGED 23,
J.S.BHAVAN, VILAYILMOOLA,
KEEZHATTINGAL VILLAGE, CHIRAYINKEEZHU,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN - 695001.
2. STATE OF KERALA,
REPRESENTED BY SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
VENJARAMOODU POLICE STATION,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM,
REPRESENTED BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT OF KERALA, ERNAKULAM.

R1 BY ADV. SRI.JOSEPH SEBASTIAN (KOLLAM)
R2 BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR SRI.JIBU P THOMAS

**THIS CRIMINAL MISC. CASE HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 02-02-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE
FOLLOWING:**

mbr/

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S ANNEXURES:

**ANNEXURE A: CERTIFIED COPY OF FINAL REPORT IN CRIME NO.366/14 OF
VENJARUMOODU POLICE STATION, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.**

**ANNEXURE B: ORIGINAL AFFIDAVIT DATED 14.1.2015 EXECUTED BY THE
1ST RESPONDENT/DEFACTO COMPLAINANT.**

RESPONDENT'S ANNEXURES: - NIL

/TRUE COPY/

P.S. TO JUDGE

mbr/

B.KEMAL PASHA, J.

.....
CRL. M.C. No.358 of 2015
.....

Dated this the 2nd day of February, 2015

ORDER

Petitioners are A1 and A2 in Crime No.366/14 of the Venjaramoodu Police Station, Thiruvananthapuram registered for the offence punishable under Section 498A read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

2. Petitioners have come up with this CrI.M.C. under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for getting all further proceedings in Annexure-A Final Report in Crime No.366/14 of the Venjaramoodu Police Station, Thiruvananthapuram quashed.

3. The allegation against the petitioners is that they have tortured and harassed the defacto complainant, who is the wife of the 1st petitioner, and treated her with cruelty

within the meaning of Section 498A IPC, by demanding more dowry.

4. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners, the learned counsel for the defacto complainant, who is the 1st respondent herein, and the learned Public Prosecutor.

5. According to the petitioners, all the matters in dispute between the petitioners and the defacto complainant have been amicably settled and presently the defacto complainant has no complaints against the petitioners and, therefore, the proceedings against the petitioners may be quashed.

6. The defacto complainant, who is the 1st respondent herein, has filed an affidavit affirming that all the matters in dispute between her and the petitioners have been amicably settled and, therefore, she has no complaints against the petitioners, and, hence, all further proceedings in the matter referred to above can be quashed. She has entered appearance through her counsel. The learned

counsel for the defacto complainant also endorses the fact that the affidavit has been sworn in by the defacto complainant on her own volition.

7. When all the matrimonial disputes have been settled between the parties and as the defacto complainant has no complaints against the petitioners, it is only just and expedient in the interest of justice to quash the proceedings referred to above.

In the result, this Crl.M.C. is allowed and Annexure-A Final Report in Crime No.366/14 of the Venjaramoodu Police Station, Thiruvananthapuram, and all further proceedings in it, are hereby quashed.

Sd/- B.KEMAL PASHA, JUDGE

ul/-

[True copy]

P.S. to Judge