

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.R.RAMACHANDRA MENON

THURSDAY, THE 29TH DAY OF JANUARY 2015/9TH MAGHA, 1936

Con.Case(C).No. 1348 of 2014 (S)

AGAINST THE JUDGMENT IN W.P.(C).NO.1569/2014, DATED 17-01-2014

PETITIONER(S)/WRIT PETITIONER :

**DR.T.R.CHANDRAMOHAN,
RAJALAKSHMI, M.G.NAGAR 113, KILIKOLLOOR P.O,
KOLLAM- 691 004.**

BY ADV. SRI.T.R.RAJAN

RESPONDENT(S)/1ST RESPONDENT IN WPC :

**V.SURESHKUMAR,
AGE NOT KNOWN TO THE PETITIONER,
FATHER'S NAME NOT KNOWN TO THE PETITIONER,
THE COMMISSIONER OF POLICE, KOLLAM- 691 001.**

BY SR.GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.K.C.VINCENT

**THIS CONTEMPT OF COURT CASE (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR
ADMISSION ON 29-01-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED
THE FOLLOWING:**

Msd.

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' ANNEXURES :

- ANNEXURE A1:** CERTIFIED COPY OF JUDGMENT DATED 17.01.2014 OF THIS HONOURABLE COURT IN WRIT PETITION(C) NO.569 OF 2014.
- ANNEXURE A2:** TRUE COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION DATED 01.01.2014 SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER BEFORE THE RESPONDENT.
- ANNEXURE A3:** TRUE COPY OF THE POSTAL ACKNOWLEDGEMENT CARD.
- ANNEXURE A4:** TRUE COPY OF THE NOTICE DATED 04.07.2014 ISSUED BY THE PETITIONER TO THE RESPONDENT.
- ANNEXURE A5:** TRUE COPY OF THE NOTICE DATED 21.11.2014 ISSUED BY THE PETITIONER TO THE RESPONDENT.

RESPONDENT(S)' ANNEXURES :

- ANNEXURE R1(A):** TRUE COPY OF THE MINUTES.
- ANNEXURE R1(B):** TRUE COPY OF THE REPORT FROM THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER OF POLICE, SPECIAL BRANCH, KOLLAM CITY.

//TRUE COPY//

P.A.TO JUDGE.

Msd.

P.R. RAMACHANDRA MENON, J.

=====
C.O.(C). No. 1348 of 2014

Dated this the 29th day of January, 2015

JUDGMENT

The learned Government Pleader points out that, the direction given by this Court as per Annexure A1 judgment dated 17.1.2014 in W.P.(C). No. 1569 of 2014 has already been complied with and an order dated 10.01.2015 was passed. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that, this however, has not fully satisfied the grievance of the petitioner.

In the above circumstance, this Court does not find it necessary to pursue any further steps under the Contempt of Courts Act and the contempt matter is closed accordingly, without prejudice to the rights and liberties of the petitioner to pursue further steps, if at all any subsisting grievance is there.

**P.R. RAMACHANDRA MENON,
JUDGE.**

kp/-