

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P.B.SURESH KUMAR

WEDNESDAY, THE 14TH DAY OF JANUARY 2015/24TH POUSHA, 1936

Con.Case(C).No. 1312 of 2014 (S) IN WP(C).27512/2014

(AGAINST THE JUDGMENT IN WP(C) 27512/2014 of HIGH COURT OF
KERALA, DATED 07-11-2014)

PETITIONER:-

M/S GAYATHRI ROCK PRODUCTS AGED 43 YEARS
A PARTNERSHIP FIRM AT PAZHAMBALAKODE, PALAKKAD
REPRESENTED BY ITS MANAGING PARTNER, P.P.VINCENT
S/O.PAPPU.

BY ADVS.SRI.S.SREEKUMAR (SR.)
SRI.P.MARTIN JOSE
SRI.P.PRIJITH
SRI.THOMAS P.KURUVILLA

RESPONDENT:-

ANITHAKUMAR
AGE AND FATHER'S NAME NOT KNOWN TO THE PETITIONER
ASSISTANT SECRETARY-IN-CHARGE
THARUR GRAMA PANCHAYAT, THARUR P.O.

BY SRI.T.K.ANANDA KRISHNAN

THIS CONTEMPT OF COURT CASE (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR
ADMISSION ON 14-01-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED
THE FOLLOWING:

Kvs/-

P.B.SURESH KUMAR, J.

= = = = =
C.O.C.No.1312 of 2014
in
WP(C).No.27512 of 2014.
= = = = =

Dated this the 14th day of January, 2015.

J U D G M E N T

Today, when this matter was taken up for hearing, the learned counsel for the respondent submitted that the direction issued by this Court in WP(C).No.27512/2014 has been complied with and the order issued in that connection was communicated to the petitioner.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner pointed out that the the direction in the judgment to the respondent was to consider the application for licence submitted by him in accordance with the provisions of the Kerala Panchayat Raj Act and the Rules made thereunder and the order issued pursuant to the judgment was not one issued as directed in accordance with the provisions of the Kerala Panchayat Raj Act and the Rules made thereunder.

3. In the light of the submission made by the

learned counsel for the petitioner, this contempt case is closed, without prejudice to the right of the petitioner to challenge the order issued pursuant to the judgment in accordance with law.

**Sd/-
P.B.SURESH KUMAR,
(Judge)**

Kvs/-

(TRUE COPY)

PA TO JUDGE.