

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.SURENDRA MOHAN

WEDNESDAY, THE 25TH DAY OF MARCH 2015/4TH CHAITHRA, 1937

Con.Case(C).No. 1750 of 2012 (S) IN WP(C).18182/2011

AGAINST THE JUDGMENT IN WP(C) 18182/2011 of HIGH COURT OF KERALA
DATED 20-03-2012

PETITIONERS :

1. A.S.AYYAPPAN AGED 57 YEARS, S/O.SABARIMALA, ALUPARAMBIL HOUSE
MUNDANGAMATTOM NEELEESWARAM P.O. PIN 683584.
2. M.V.DEVASSY, AGED 59 YEARS , S/O.VARIATH, MANKAYIL HOUSE, KAIPPATTOOR
MANICKAMANGALAM P.O. PIN 683574.
3. K.GOPY , AGED 58 YEARS , S/O. KUMARAN, VALAMKUDY HOUSE
CHERANALLOOR P.O. VIA KOOVAPPADY, PIN 683544.
4. K.M.YACOB, AGED 61 YEARS
S/O.K.C. MATHEW, KOORAN THAZHATTHUPARAMBIL HOUSE
KIDANGOOR P.O. ANGAMALY, PIN 683591.
5. P.C.POULOSE, AGED 58 YEARS , S/O.CHACKU, PATTATHY HOUSE,
POTHIYAKKARA, YORDHANAPURAM P.O. PIN 683574.
6. THOMAS SEBASTIAN, AGED 60 YEARS , S/O.DEVASSY, VATTAPARAMBAN HOUSE
MOOKKANNOOR P.O. PIN 683577.
7. K.P.KUNJAPPAN, AGED 59 YEARS, S/O.PALLI, KUDIYIRICKAL HOUSE,
CHERANALLOOR P.O. , VIA KOOVAPPADY, PIN 683544.
8. M.U.YOHANNAN, AGED 58 YEARS, ULAHANNAN MOOLETHADATHIL HOUSE
ARAKKUNNAM P.O. VIA MULANTHURUTHY, PIN 682313.

BY ADV. SRI.WILSON URMESSE

RESPONDENT :

T.SUKUMARAN NAIR
(FATHER'S NAME AND AGE NOT KNOWN TO THE PETITIONERS)
PRESENTLY HOLDING THE POST OF MANAGING DIRECTOR
KERALA STATE BAMBOO CORPORATION LIMITED
P.B. NO.20 ANGAMALY SOUTH P.O. PIN 683573.

R BY ADVS. SRI.K.ANAND (SR.)
SMT.LATHA KRISHNAN
SRI.B.S.KRISHNAN

THIS CONTEMPT OF COURT CASE (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON
25-03-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

Con.Case(C).No. 1750 of 2012 (S) IN WP(C).18182/2011

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS :

ANNEXURE A : COPY OF THE JUDGMENT DTD.20.3.2012 IN WP(C).NO.18182/2011-W OF THIS HON'BLE COURT

RESPONDENTS ANNEXURES :

ANNEXURE 1 : COPY OF NOTICE DATED 18.1.2013

/TRUE COPY/

P.A TO JUDGE

AV

K.SURENDRA MOHAN, J.

Cont.Case(C) No.1750 of 2012

Dated this the 25th day of March, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The petitioners have filed this contempt case alleging non compliance with the direction contained in the judgment dated 20.03.2012 in W.P.(C).No.18182/2011. A statement has been filed by the respondent stating that, amounts have been paid to the petitioners in compliance with the direction of this Court. It is also stated that, the amounts paid by cheque were towards full and final settlement of the benefits due to the petitioners, in compliance with the direction of this Court.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioners disputes the above assertion in the statement alleging that, various other amounts are remaining unpaid.

3. Heard. No reply has been filed by the petitioners to the statement filed by the respondent. If as claimed by the counsel for the petitioners, further amounts are due to the petitioners, they shall be at liberty to agitate the said claim in separate proceedings that may be instituted by them. Since it is stated that, amounts have been paid to the petitioners in

Cont.Case(C) No.1750 of 2012

compliance with the direction of this Court, I find no grounds to proceed further with this contempt case.

This Contempt Case is, therefore, closed.

Sd/-
K.SURENDRA MOHAN, JUDGE.

AV