

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE V. CHITAMBARESH

MONDAY, THE 15TH DAY OF JUNE 2015/25TH JYAISHTA, 1937

Con.Case(C).No. 984 of 2014 (S) IN WP(C).22714/2013

JUDGMENT IN WP(C) 22714/2013 of HIGH COURT OF KERALA DATED 17-01-2014

PETITIONER/PETITIONER IN WPC NO. 22714/2013(L):

THOMMAN JOSEPH, AGED 63 YEARS,
S/O. LATE MATHAI, KAPPIYANIKKAL HOUSE,
AMANAKARA, RAMAPURAM VILLAGE, MEENACHIL
TALUK, KOTTAYAM DISTRICT, KERALA STATE.

BY ADV. SRI. VARGHESE C. KURIAKOSE

RESPONDENTS/RESPONDENTS IN WPC NO. 22714/2013(L):

1. SHRI. A. AJITHKUMAR, I.A.S., AGE AND FATHER'S NAME NOT KNOWN, FUNCTIONING AS DISTRICT COLLECTOR, CIVIL STATION, KOTTAYAM - 686 001.
2. SHRI. T.D. DAVID, AGE AND FATHER'S NAME NOT KNOWN FUNCTIONING AS TAHSILDAR, MEENACHIL TALUK, TALUK OFFICE, PALA - 686 001.
3. SHRI. ANIL OOMMEN, AGE AND FATHER'S NAME NOT KNOWN, FUNCTIONING AS SPECIAL TAHSILDAR(RR) MEENACHIL TALUK, PALA, KOTTAYAM DISTRICT-686301.
4. K.M. MADUSOODANAN NAMBOODIRI, AGE AND FATHER'S NAME NOT KNOWN FUNCTIONING AS VILLAGE OFFICER, RAMAPURAM VILLAGE, RAMAPURAM-686243.

BY SENIOR GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI. THOMAS JOHN AMBOOKEN
BY SRI. K.D. BABU, SC, KTWFB

THIS CONTEMPT OF COURT CASE (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 15-06-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

COC NO. 984/2014

APPENDIX

- ANNEXURE 1 : CERTIFIED COPY OF THE JUDGMENT IN WP(C) NO. 22714/2013(L) PASSED BY THIS HONOURABLE COURT.
- ANNEXURE 2 : TRUE COPY OF THE RECEIPT ISSUED BY KERALA TODDY WORKERS WELFARE FUND BOARD DATED 04.10.2013.
- ANNEXURE 3 : TRUE COPY OF THE COMMUNICATION DATED 24.01.2014 SUBMITTED BEFORE THE TAHSILDAR.
- ANNEXURE 4 : TRUE COPY OF THE COMMUNICATION DATED 24.01.2014 SUBMITTED BEFORE THE VILLAGE OFFICER.
- ANNEXURE 5 : TRUE COPY OF THE COMMUNICATION DATED 12.07.2014
- ANNEXURE 6 : TRUE PHOTOCOPY OF THE REGISTRATION SLIP PERTAINING TO THE LETTER.

/TRUE COPY/

P.A. TO JUDGE.

V. CHITAMBARESH, J

C.O.C. NO. 984 OF 2014
IN
WP(C) NO. 22714 OF 2013

Dated this the 15th day of June, 2015

JUDGMENT

Annexure 1 judgment is under appeal. The operation of the judgment is also stayed. It will be inappropriate to initiate contempt of court proceedings therefore.

The contempt of court case proceedings are dropped. This is without prejudice to the right of the petitioner to move afresh after the disposal of the writ appeal.

V. CHITAMBARESH
JUDGE

ncd