

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE T.R.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR

TUESDAY, THE 11TH DAY OF AUGUST 2015/20TH SRAVANA, 1937

Con.Case(C).No. 1380 of 2012 (S) IN WP(C).6424/2012

**-----
IN WP(C) 6424/2012 of HIGH COURT OF KERALA DATED 04-06-2012
-----**

PETITIONER(S):

- 1. RAMEESH P.P, AGED 19 YEARS
AGED 19 YEARS, S/O.SHAHULHAMEED P.P.
THEKKEKUNNATH HOUSE, KUZHIMANNA P.O.
MALAPPURAM DISTRICT.**
- 2. SHAHUL HAMEED P.P., THEKKEKUNNATH HOUSE,
KUZHIMANNA P.O.
MALAPPURAM DISTRICT.**

BY ADV. SRI.M.J.SANTHOSH

RESPONDENT(S):

**SAJI.T, AGED ABOUT 32 YEARS, S/O.NOT KNOWN, PRESENTLY WORKING
AS THE BRANCH MANAGER, SOUTH INDIAN BANK
KUZHIMANNA BRANCH, THRISSUR DIST - 673641.**

BY SRI.K.K.JOHN,SC,SOUTH INDIAN BANK

**THIS CONTEMPT OF COURT CASE (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD
ON 11-08-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:**

Con.Case(C).No. 1380 of 2012 (S) IN WP(C).6424/2012

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' ANNEXURE :

**ANNEXURE A1 CERTIFIED COPY OF JUDGMENT DATED 4.6.2012 IN
W.P.C.NO.6424 OF 2012.**

RESPONDENT(S)' ANNEXURE : **NIL**

/TRUE COPY/

PA TO JUDGE

VS

T.R.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR, J.

Con.Case.No.1380 of 2012

Dated this the 11th day of August, 2015

JUDGMENT

With regard to the application for grant of educational loan submitted by the petitioner, this Court issued directions as per Anneuxure A1 judgment. Alleging that in spite of the directions, Bank has not considered the application, this contempt case has been filed.

2. The learned counsel for the Bank submitted that the bank has actually sanctioned the loan to the first petitioner by communication dated 22.06.2012. But the petitioner did not avail in the same.

Recording the same, the contempt case is closed, as no further actions are required in this matter under the Contempt of Court Act.

Sd/-
T.R.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR,
JUDGE

VS