

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE C.T.RAVIKUMAR

TUESDAY, THE 24TH DAY OF MARCH 2015/3RD CHAITHRA, 1937

Con.Case(C).No. 1338 of 2012 (S) IN WP(C).14819/2011

AGAINST THE JUDGMENT IN WP(C) 14819/2011 of HIGH COURT OF KERALA
DATED 19-07-2012

PETITIONER(S):

P.P.USHA, AGED 45 YEARS
D/O.PANNERI KANNAN, PUTHIYAPURAYIL, MOTTAMMEL P.O
KANNUR DISTRICT.

BY ADVS.SRI.P.M.PAREETH
SRI.MOHAMMED SHAMEEL

RESPONDENT(S):

- 1.T.K.BEENA
(FATHER'S NAME AND AGE NOT KNOWN TO THE PETITIONER)
FORMER SPECIAL GRADE SECRETARY AND NOW JUNIOR
SUPERINTENDENT
PALLIKUNNU GRAMA PANCHAYAT, P.O PALLIKUNNU
KANNUR DISTRICT- 670 004.
 2. VIDYA K.P
(FATHER'S NAME NOT KNOWN TO THE PETITIONER)
PRESIDENT, PALLIKUNNU GRAMA PANCHAYAT, P.O PALLIKUNNU
KANNUR DISTRICT. 670 004.
 3. SHAFEEK
(FATHER'S NAME NOT KNOWN TO THE
PETITIONER), SPECIAL GRADE SECRETARY
PUZHATHI GRAMA PANCHAYAT IN CHARGE OF PALLIKUNNU GRAMA
PANCHAYAT, P.O PALLIKUNNU, KANNUR DISTRICT- 670 004.
- R3 BY ADV. SMT.M.MEENA JOHN
R2 BY ADV. SRI.V.R.KESAVA KAIMAL
R1 BY ADV. SRI.A.X.VARGHESE
R1 BY ADV. SRI.A.V.JOJO

THIS CONTEMPT OF COURT CASE (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON
24-03-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

C.O.(C).1338/12

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:

ANNEXURE A1:A TRUE COPY OF THE JUDGMENT DATED 19.07.2012 IN W.P.(C).
NO.14819/11.

ANNEXURE A2:A TRUE PHOTOCOPY OF THE COVERING LETTER DATED
27.07.2012 ISSUED BY THE COUNSEL FOR THE PETITIONER.

ANNEXURE A3:A TRUE PHOTOCOPY OF THE POSTAL ACKNOWLEDGMENT DATED
30.07.2012 ISSUED BY THE 1ST RESPONDENT.

ANNEXURE A4: A TRUE PHOTOCOPY OF THE POSTAL ACKNOWLEDGMENT DATED
30.07.2012 ISSUED BY THE 2ND RESPONDENT.

RESPONDENTS' EXHIBITS:NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.A.TO JUDGE

C.T.RAVIKUMAR, J.

=====

C.O.(C).No.1338 OF 2012

=====

Dated this the 24th day of March, 2015

JUDGMENT

It is alleging wilful disobedience with the directions of this Court in judgment dated 19.07.2012 in W.P.(C).No14819 of 2011 that this contempt case has been filed. When this matter is taken up for consideration, the learned counsel on both sides submitted that the directions in the aforesaid judgment have been complied with. Recording the said submission, this contempt case is closed.

Sd/-

C.T.RAVIKUMAR
Judge

spc