

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

**THE HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR.ASHOK BHUSHAN
&
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE A.M.SHAFFIQUE**

WEDNESDAY, THE 17TH DAY OF JUNE 2015/27TH JYAISHTA, 1937

Con.Case(C).No. 586 of 2014 (S)

WP(C) 10493/2013, DATED 18-02-2014

PETITIONER(S)/PETITIONER:

**LIDYA GEORGE, AGED 31 YEARS,
SANDEEP JOSE, PALATHU HOUSE, THIDANADU P.O,
KOTTAYAM DISTRICT, PIN 686 123.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.BABU VARGHESE (SR.)
SRI.V.NARAYANA SWAMI**

RESPONDENT(S)/2ND RESPONDENT IN WPC:

**DR. B. SYAMALAKUMARI,
(AGE AND HUSBAND'S NAME NOT KNOWN
TO THE PETITIONER) PRINCIPAL,
GOVERNMENT COLLEGE, NATTAKAM
IN CHARGE OF THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF COLLEGIATE EDUCATION,
OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF THE COLLEGIATE EDUCATION
KOTTAYAM, PIN 686 001.**

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.P.I. DAVIS

**THIS CONTEMPT OF COURT CASE (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD
ON 17-06-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

PJ

APPENDIX

PETITIONERS' ANNEXURES

- A1: COPY OF THE JUDGMENT DATED 18/2/14 IN WPC.10493/13
- A2: COPY OF THE ACKNOWLEDGMENT CARD
- A3: COPY OF THE ORDER OF APPROVAL DATED 12/9/12
- A4: COPY OF THE LETTER DATED 22/1/13 SENT BY THE RESPONDENT TO THE REGISTRAR OF THE UNIVERSITY
- A4(A): COPY OF THE REPLY DATED 25/4/13 SENT BY THE REGISTRAR OF THE UNIVERSITY
- A5: COPY OF THE LETTER DATED 2/5/14 SENT BY THE RESPONDENT TO THE PRINCIPAL OF THE COLLEGE
- A5(A): COPY OF THE GOVERNMENT LETTER DATED 26/12/13 SENT BY THE ADDITIONAL CHIEF SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT TO THE RESPONDENT
- A5(B): COPY OF THE GOVERNMENT LETTER DATED 27/3/14 SENT BY THE ADDITIONAL CHIEF SECRETARY TO THE RESPONDENT.

RESPONDENTS' ANNEXURES

- R1(A): COPY OF THE REPLY LETTER REFERRED AS A5(B) DTD.27/3/14
- R1(B): COPY OF THE LETTER DATED 4/6/14.

/ TRUE COPY /

P.S. TO JUDGE

PJ

ASHOK BHUSHAN, CJ

&

A.M. SHAFFIQUE, J.

=====

Contempt Case (Civil) No. 586 of 2014

=====

Dated this, the 17th day of June, 2015

J U D G M E N T

Ashok Bhushan, CJ

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that subsequent to the judgment in the writ petition, the arrears of salary have already been paid and the contempt application be closed with liberty to the applicant to claim any other benefit as may be entitled.

In the above view of the matter, we see no reason to proceed with the contempt petition. Accordingly, the contempt petition is closed.

Sd/-

ASHOK BHUSHAN, CHIEF JUSTICE

Sd/-

A.M. SHAFFIQUE, JUDGE

Rp

//True Copy//

PS to Judge