

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.SURENDRA MOHAN

THURSDAY, THE 5TH DAY OF FEBRUARY 2015/16TH MAGHA, 1936

Con.Case(C).No. 395 of 2014 (S) IN WP(C).8061/2013

AGAINST THE JUDGMENT IN WP(C) 8061/2013 DATED 09-04-2013

PETITIONER(S)/PETITIONER IN W.P(C)8061 OF 2013:

SUBAIDA
D/O.YUNESKUTTY, KARIMBINTHARA VEEDU, BYE-PASS ROAD
CHOTTANIKKARA PANCHAYATH.

BY ADVS.SRI.R.LAKSHMI NARAYAN
SMT.R.RANJINI

RESPONDENT(S)/IST RESPONDENT IN W.P(C)8061 OF 2013:

K.T.RATNA BAI
AGE AND D/O.NOT KNOWN TO THE PETITIONER, SECRETARY
CHOTTANIKKARA GRAMA PANCHAYATH
OFFICE OF THE CHOTTANIKKARA GRAMA PANCHAYATH
CHOTTANIKKARA-682312.

ADDL.R2 SINI K.Y
TALUK SURVEYOR, KANAYANNUR TALUK
ERNAKULAM

(IMPLEADED AS ADDL.R2 VIDE ORDER DT.2.6.2014 IN I.A.185/2014

R1 BY ADV. SRI.S.SREEKUMAR (SR.)
R1 BY ADV. SRI.P.PRIJITH
R2 BY ADV. GOVERNMENT PLEADER
R BY SRI.P.MARTIN JOSE

THIS CONTEMPT OF COURT CASE (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR
ADMISSION ON 05-02-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:

K. SURENDRA MOHAN,J.

Cont. Case NO.395 OF 2014

Dated this the 5th February, 2015.

JUDGMENT

I have already disposed of WPC 17314/2014 issuing directions in the matter of implementing the report of the Taluk Surveyor, in accordance with Annexure B sketch produced in this case. In view of the above, no further action is necessary to be pursued in this contempt case. The same is therefore closed.

Sd/-
K. SURENDRA MOHAN
Judge

jj

/True copy/

