

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE T.R.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR

TUESDAY, THE 11TH DAY OF AUGUST 2015/20TH SRAVANA, 1937

Con.Case(C).No. 916 of 2013 (S) IN WP(C).26150/2010

**-----
IN WP(C) 26150/2010 of HIGH COURT OF KERALA DATED 18-10-2012
-----**

PETITIONER(S)/PETITIONER/WRIT PETITIONER IN WPCNO.26150/010(P) :

**-----
N. GOPALAKRISHNAN PILLAI, AGED 65 YEARS
S/O NARAYANA PILLAI, GOVERNMENT CONTRACTOR
KALEEKKAL PUTHENVEEDU, MYNAGAPPALLY, KARUNAGAPPALLY
KOLLAM-690 519.**

BY ADV. SRI.AJITH KRISHNAN

RESPONDENT(S)/RESPONDENTS/RESPONDENTS IN WPC NO.26150/2010(P):

-
- 1. T.O. SOORAJ
(AGE AND FATHER'S NAME NOT KNOWN TO
THE PETITIONER) SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT, GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695 001.**
 - 2. C.E.SATHEESAN,
(AGE AND FATHER'S NAME NOT KNOWN TO THE PETITIONER)
CHIEF ENGINEER
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT ROADS AND BRIDGES
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695 033.**
 - 3. JAIK JOSEPH
(AGE AND FATHER'S NAME NOT KNOWN TO THE PETITIONER)
SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER
OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT ROADS AND BRIDGES
SOUTH CIRCLE, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695 033.**
 - 4. JACOB
(AGE AND FATHER'S NAME NOT KNOWN TO THE PETITIONER)
ASSISTANT ENGINEER
BRIDGES SECTION, PUNALUR, KOLLAM.**

R4 BY ADV. GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.MOHAMMED SAVAD

**THIS CONTEMPT OF COURT CASE (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD
ON 11-08-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:**

Con.Case(C).No. 916 of 2013 (S) IN WP(C).26150/2010

APPENDIX

PETITIONERS ANNEXURE :

- ANNEXURE A : CERTIFIED COPY OF THE JUDGMENT DATED 8.10.2012 IN WRIT PETITION(CIVIL)NO.26150/2010 PASSED BY THIS HONOURABLE COURT.**
- ANNEXURE B : TRUE COPY OF THE ACKNOWLEDGEMENT CARDS EVIDENCING THE ANNEXURE A JUDGMENT COMMUNICATED ON 17.12.2012.**

RESPONDENTS ANNEXURE :

NIL

/TRUE COPY/

PA TO JUDGE

VS

T.R.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR, J.

Con.Case.No.916 of 2013

Dated this the 11th day of August, 2015

JUDGMENT

The petitioner is a P.W.D. Contractor, who approached this Court by filing a writ petition which was disposed of by Annexure A judgment. It was directed that the eligible amount to the petitioner will be disbursed after getting permission of the Government.

2. The learned Senior Government pleader submitted that various amounts have been disbursed to the petitioner. According to the petitioner the entire amounts have not been so far disbursed.

The contempt case is therefore closed without prejudice to the right of the petitioner to re-agitate the issue regarding the non payment of any eligible amount.

Sd/-
T.R.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR,
JUDGE

VS