

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DAMA SESHADRI NAIDU

WEDNESDAY, THE 16TH DAY OF DECEMBER 2015/25TH AGRAHAYANA, 1937

Con.Case(C).No. 1793 of 2015 (S) IN WP(C).24006/2009

AGAINST THE JUDGMENT IN WP(C) 24006/2009 of HIGH COURT OF KERALA
DATED 17-03-2015

PETITIONER/PETITIONER IN W.P.(C) No. 24006/2009 :-

ISLAHUL MUSLIMEEN JAMA-ATH,
REG.NO. 104/59, KOZHIKODE , SVM P.O.,
KARUNAGAPPALLY, KOLLAM,
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY,
K. SALIM, S/O. KOYAKUNJU, AGED 66 YEARS

BY ADVS.SRI.PHILIP J.VETTICKATTU
SRI.B.PREMNATH (E)

RESPONDENT/RESPONDENTS 3 & 4 IN W.P.(C) No. 24006/2009:-

1. A.BASHEER, AGED 48 YEARS,
S/O.A BOOBACKER, KATTOOR VEEDU,
S.V.M. P.O., KOZHIKODE,
KARUNAGAPPALLY, KOLLAM - 690 518.
2. IBRAHIMKUTTY, AGED 63 YEARS,
S/O. HYDROSEKUNJU,
MAZHAMPLETHPUTHANVEEDU, S.V.M.P.O.,
KOZHIKODE, KARUNAGAPPALLY, KOLLAM - 690 518.

R2 BY ADV.SRI.V.VASOKAN (SR.)
R2 BY ADV.SRI.P.P.RAMACHANDRAN

THIS CONTEMPT OF COURT CASE (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR
ADMISSION ON 16-12-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED
THE FOLLOWING:

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S ANNEXURES :

- ANNEXURE A1 : TRUE COPY OF BUILDING PERMIT DATED 4.11.2006 AND ITS ENGLISH TRANSLATION.
- ANNEXURE A2 : CERTIFIED COPY OF JUDGMENT DATED 17.03.2015 IN W.P. (C) No. 24006/2009 OF THIS HONOURABLE COURT.
- ANNEXURE A3 : TRUE COPY OF THE NOTICE ISSUED BY THE MUNICIPALITY TO THE RESPONDENT DATED 25.11.2015 AND ITS ENGLISH TRANSLATION.

RESPONDENTS' EXHIBITS :

- EXHIBIT R2(a) : TRUE COPY OF THE PROCEEDINGS OF THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, KOLLAM.
- EXHIBIT R2(aa) : TRUE COPY OF THE ENGLISH TRANSLATION OF EXHIBIT R2(a).
- EXHIBIT R2(b) : TRUE COPY OF THE NO OBJECTION CERTIFICATE OF THE DIVISIONAL OFFICER, FIRE AND RESCUE SERVICES, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.
- EXHIBIT R2(c) : TRUE COPY OF THE PROCEEDINGS OF THE SECRETARY, KARUNAGAPPALLY MUNICIPALITY.
- EXHIBIT R2(ca) : TRUE COPY OF THE ENGLISH TRANSLATION OF EXHIBIT R2(c).

// TRUE COPY //

P.A. TO JUDGE

DMR/-

DAMA SESHADRI NAIDU, J.

Cont. case (c) No. 1793 of 2015

Dated this the 16th day of December, 2015

JUDGMENT

In the light of the fact that the respondents have obtained the necessary permission from the competent authority, without adverting to the aspect of alleged violation, this Court closes the Contempt Case.

**DAMA SESHADRI NAIDU
JUDGE**

DMR/-