

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE T.R.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR

THURSDAY, THE 13TH DAY OF AUGUST 2015/22ND SRAVANA, 1937

Con.Case(C).No. 573 of 2012 (S) IN WP(C).15302/2011

**-----
IN WP(C) 15302/2011 of HIGH COURT OF KERALA DATED 22.11.2011
-----**

PETITIONER(S)/PETITIONER/PETITIONER:

**-----
A.A.PADMANABHAN, AGED 53 YEARS
SON OF APPUKUTTAN, MANAGER
P.M.LOWER PRIMARY SCHOOL, KIRALUR
THRISSUR DISTRICT-680601.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.PAUL MATHEW (PERUMPILLIL)
SRI.PRASUN.S**

RESPONDENT(S)/RESPONDENT/2ND RESPONDENT:

**-----
SRI.SHAJAHAN
DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION, JAGATHY
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695014.**

BY ADV. GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.NOUSHAD THOTTATHIL

**THIS CONTEMPT OF COURT CASE (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD
ON 13-08-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:**

Con.Case(C).No. 573 of 2012 (S) IN WP(C).15302/2011

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' ANNEXURE :

**ANNEXURE I : CERTIFIED COPY OF THE JUDGMENT OF THIS HONOURABLE
COURT IN WPC NO.15302 OF 2011-K**

RESPONDENT(S)' ANNEXURE :

NIL

/TRUE COPY/

PA TO JUDGE

VS

T.R.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR, J.

Con.Case.No.573 of 2012

Dated this the 13th day of August, 2015

JUDGMENT

Alleging non-compliance of the directions issued in Annexure A1 judgment, this contempt case is filed.

2. The petitioner is the Manager of a school. This Court by the impugned judgment, directed the Director of Public Instruction to take an appropriate decision on Ext.P1. It is the case of the petitioner that no effective action was taken even thereafter.

3. The learned Government Pleader submitted that the directions of this Court have been complied with by the respondent by passing Annexure A1 order dated 05.06.2012 produced along with the affidavit of the respondent.

4. By a reading of the said order, it will show that the Director has not granted permission to close the school.

It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the said order has been challenged in W.P.C.No.13702/12. In that view of the matter also, further action under Contempt of Courts Act is not necessary in this case and accordingly this contempt case is closed.

Sd/-
T.R.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR,
JUDGE

VS