

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.VINOD CHANDRAN

FRIDAY, THE 4TH DAY OF DECEMBER 2015/13TH AGRAHAYANA, 1937

Con.Case(C) .No. 1522 of 2015 (S) IN WP(C) .12864/2015

AGAINST THE ORDER IN WP(C) 12864/2015 OF THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA
DATED 28-04-2015

PETITIONER(S)/PETITIONER IN W.P[C]:

VASU.P. @ VASUDEVAN
PROPRIETOR, M/S.VIRACON ENGINEERS, S/O.GOPALAN
AGED 56 YEARS, PULLANIPARAMBIL HOUSE, 'VASU NIVAS'
MEZHATHUR(POST), TRITHALA, PALAKKAD DISTRICT
PIN-679 534.

BY ADV. SRI.P.K.MOHANAN(PALAKKAD)

RESPONDENT(S)/RESPONDENTS IN W.P[C]:

-
1. SRI.P.P.GAYATHRINATHAN
S/O.PARAMESWARAN V.K.
(AGE NOT KNOWN TO THE PETITIONER), MANAGER
STATE BANK OF TRAVANCORE, TRITHALA BRANCH
2/424(B)KELAYIL BUILDING, GOVERNMENT HOSPITAL ROAD
P.O.TRITHALA, PALAKKAD DISTRICT, PIN-679 534.
 2. SRI.VENUGOPAL
(FATHER'S NAME AND AGE NOT KNOWN TO THE PETITIONER)
AUTHORISED OFFICER, SARFAESI ACT
STATE BANK OF TRAVANCORE, REGIONAL OFFICE, 24
HOTEL GREEN PARK BUILDING, MANJAKKULAM ROAD, PALAKKAD
PIN-678 014.

R1-R2 BY ADV. SRI.T.SETHUMADHAVAN (SR.)
BY SRI. JAYESH MOHAN KUMAR, SC, SBT

THIS CONTEMPT OF COURT CASE (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR
ADMISSION ON 04-12-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY
DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

JJJ

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S) ' ANNEXURES

ANNEXURE 1 COPY OF THE ORDER DATED 28.04.2015 IN WP(C) NO.12864/2015

ANNEXURE 2 COPY OF THE COUNTERFOIL OF THE PAY IN SLIP DATED
14.05.2015

ANNEXURE 3 COPY OF THE COUNTERFOIL OF PAY IN SLIP DATED 03.09.2015
SHOWING THE REMITTANCE OF RS.1,15,000/- IN ACCOUNT
NO.67237460983.

ANNEXURE 4 COPY OF THE COUNTERFOIL OF PAY IN SLIP DATED 03.09.2015
SHOWING THE REMITTANCE OF RS.35,000/- IN ACCOUNT
NO.67249468162

ANNEXURE 5 NOTICE MEMO FILED BY THE ADVOCATE COMMISSIONER V.SAREENA
TO THE PETITIONER ON 03.10.2015 PUTTING NOTICE DATED
01.10.2015 AND TYPED COPY OF ANNEXURE 5

RESPONDENT(S) ' ANNEXURES: NIL

//TRUE COPY//

PA TO JUDGE

JJJ

K. VINOD CHANDRAN, J.

Contempt Case (C) No. 1522 of 2015 (S)

Dated this the 4th day of December, 2015

J U D G M E N T

In the context of the submission of the learned Senior Counsel that there was a delay in communicating the order to the respondent Bank and taking into account the unconditional apology, this Court is of the opinion that no proceedings need be taken on the contempt.

The contempt petition stands closed.

Sd/-
K.VINOD CHANDRAN,
JUDGE