

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE V.CHITAMBARESH

THURSDAY, THE 12TH DAY OF NOVEMBER 2015/21ST KARTHIKA, 1937

Con.Case(C).No. 1484 of 2015 (S) IN O.P.(C).1641/2014

AGAINST THE NON-COMPLIANCE OF THE JUDGMENT IN OP(C) 1641/2014 of
DATED 22-07-2014

PETITIONER:

P.K.VSAIDALAVI @ BAVUTTY
S/O. MOIDEENKUTTY HAJI,
PUTHIYAKATH KANNACHAN VEETIL
POST PACHATTIRI,
TIRUR - 676 105.

BY ADVS.SRI.C.M.MOHAMMED IQUABAL
SMT.ANJALI G.KRISHNAN

RESPONDENT/4th RESPONDENT IN O.P.(C). & S.I. OF POLICE :

-
- 1. FATHIMA**
D/O. SAIDALAVI, MANGALAM AMSOM KOOTTAYI DESOM
POST KOOTTAYI, TIRUR TALUK, MALAPPURAM - 676 562
 - 2. SUMESH SUDHAKARAN,**
SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE, TIRUR POLICE STATION
POST TIRUR, MALAPPURAM - 676 101.

R1 BY ADV.SRI.K.RAMACHANDRAN
R2 BY SR. GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT. ANITHA RAVINDRAN

THIS CONTEMPT OF COURT CASE (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD
ON 12-11-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' ANNEXURES

- ANNEXURE A1: TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER DATED 22.07.2014 IN
O.P.(C). NO. 1641/2014**
- ANNEXURE A2: TRUE COPY OF THE LEGAL NOTICE ISSUED ON
BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER DATED 23.03.2015**
- ANNEXURE A3: TRUE COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION FILED BY THE
PETITIONER BEFORE THE 2ND RESPONDENT DATED
01.10.2015**

RESPONDENT(S)' ANNEXURES:-

- ANNEXURE R1(a): COPY OF THE COMPLAINT DATED 01.10.2015
SUBMITTED BY THE 1ST RESPONDENT BEFORE
THE DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE,
TIRUR**
- ANNEXURE R1(b): COPY OF THE ACKNOWLEDGMENT RECEIPT
EVIDENCING RECEIPT OF ANNEXURE R1(a)**

/TRUE COPY/

P.A. TO JUDGE

DCS

V. CHITAMBARESH, J

Cont. of Court Case (C). No. 1484 OF 2015

Dated this the 13th day of November, 2015

JUDGMENT

The materials on record are insufficient to hold that the first respondent has violated Annexure A1 order. This is particularly so in the light of the submission made by the second respondent.

2. The petitioner as well as the first respondent are parties to a decree in a suit for partition. It is reported that delivery has already been effected in favour of the first respondent.

3. It is true that the second respondent has received a complaint alleging commission of offence. The proceedings therein will take its own course.

The contempt of court case is closed.

**V. CHITAMBARESH
JUDGE**

DCS