

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE C.K.ABDUL REHIM

THURSDAY, THE 11TH DAY OF APRIL 2013/21ST CHAITHRA 1935

Con.Case(C).No. 382 of 2013 (S) IN WP(C).3819/2012

AGAINST THE ORDER/JUDGMENT IN WP(C).3819/2012 of HIGH COURT OF KERALA
DATED 3819 OF 2012

PETITIONER(S) :

1. MAVELIL ANNAMMA
W/O.KURIAKKOSE, KARITHALAM VILLAGE, HOSDURG TALUK
KASARAGOD DISTRICT.
2. MAVELIL POULOSE,
S/O.ANNAMMA, PERIYANGANAM POST, KASARAGODE DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.K.M.AUGUSTINE

RESPONDENT(S)/3RD RESPONDENT IN THE WRIT PETITION:

SMT.N.N.JAMUNA, AGE AND FATHER'S NAME NOT KNOWN TO THE
PETITIONERS,
PRESENTLY WORKING AS THE SPECIAL TAHSILDAR (L.A)
GENERAL, THALASSERY
PIN 679 301.

(THE NAME OF THE RESPONDENT IS DELETED AND SUBSTITUTED
WITH THE NAME 'P.RAVIKUMAR'
AS PER ORDER DATED 01/04/2013 IN IA 306/2013)

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.SHYSON P. MANGUZHA

THIS CONTEMPT OF COURT CASE (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR
ADMISSION ON 11-04-2013, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:

APPENDIX

PETITIONERS EXHIBITS

- ANNEXURE A1 COPY OF THE JUDGMENT IN WP(C) NO. 3819 OF 2012 (B)
DATED 23.02.2012 OF THIS HONOURABLE COURT.
- ANNEXURE A2 COPY OF THE COVERING LETTER DATED 14.05.2012 ADDRESSED
TO THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, KANNUR ALONG WITH THE COPY
ADDRESSED TO THE RESPONDENT.
- ANNEXURE A3 COPY OF THE LETTER DATED 3.7.2012 ADDRESSED TO THE
RESPONDENT.
- ANNEXURE A4 COPY OF THE STATEMENT DATED 2.7.2012 SUBMITTED BY THE
POWER OF ATTORNEY HOLDER BEFORE THE RESPONDENT.

RESPONDENTS EXHIBITS : NIL

/TRUE COPY/

P. A. TO JUDGE

C.K. ABDUL REHIM, J.

Cont. Case (C). No. 382 of 2013

Dated this the 11th day of April, 2013

JUDGMENT

It is submitted by learned Government Pleader appearing on behalf of the respondent that in compliance with Annexure A1 judgment proceedings has already been issued by the respondent. However, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that they have not received copy of any such proceedings.

2. The respondent will forward copy of the proceedings issued in compliance with the judgment to the petitioner within 7 days from today.

3. Since the directions contained in the judgment stands complied with, eventhough there occurred delay, I am not proposing to proceed further in this Contempt of Court Case.

Accordingly, the Contempt of Court Case is hereby closed.

Sd/-
C.K. ABDUL REHIM, JUDGE
/True copy/

P. A. to Judge