

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.VINOD CHANDRAN

FRIDAY, THE 20TH DAY OF NOVEMBER 2015/29TH KARTHIKA, 1937

Con.Case(C).No. 379 of 2013 (S) IN WP(C).34127/2011

PETITIONER(S)/PETITIONER:

**K.JOUHARA, AGED 34 YEARS,
WIFE OF MUSTHAF, RESIDING AT KADAVATH HOUSE
P.O.POMBRA, KARAKKURISSI, PALAKKAD DISTRICT-678599.**

**BY ADV. SRI.V.A.MUHAMMED
ADV.K.E.HAMSA**

RESPONDENT(S)/3RD AND 4TH RESPONDENT:

- 1. SHRI.JAYA RAJ(AGE AND FATHER'S NAME NOT KNOWN TO THE PETITIONER)
ASSISTANT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER, CHERPALASSERI
PALAKKAD DISTRICT-679503.**
- 2. SHRI.N.MOHAMMED HAJI, (AGE AND FATHER'S NAME NOT KNOWN
TO THE PETITIONER)
MANAGER, AIDED UPPER PRIMARY SCHOOL, POMBRA
P.O.KARIMPUZHA, (VIA) SREEKRISHNAPURAM
PALAKKAD DISTRICT-679513.**

**R1 BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT.A.NANCY
R2 BY ADV. SRI.S.M.PRASANTH**

**THIS CONTEMPT OF COURT CASE (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD
ON 20-11-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:**

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' ANNEXURE :

ANNEXURE I	TRUE COPY OF THE G.O.(Rt)NO.272/11/G.Edn. DATED 21.1.2011 OF THE GOVERNMENT
ANNEXURE II	CERTIFIED COPY OF THE JUDGMENT IN WPC.34127/2011-M DATED 19.1.2012 OF THIS HONOURABLE COURT.
ANNEXURE III	TRUE COPY OF REPLY UNDER RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT DATED 15.6.2009
ANNEXURE IV	TRUE COPY OF THE APPOINTMENT ORDER OF SMT.CHITHRA T.G. DATED 4.6.2012
ANNEXURE V	TRUE COPY OF THE APPOINTMENT ORDER OF SMT.SAHALA P DATED 19.7.2012
ANNEXURE VI	TRUE COPY OF THE APPOINTMENT ORDER OF SMT.SAHALA P DATED 11.9.2012

RESPONDENT(S)' ANNEXURE :

ANNEXURE R2(a)	TRUE COPY OF THE COMMUNICATION DATED 1.12.2008 OF THE ASSISTANT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER, CHERPULASSERY
ANNEXURE R2(b)	TRUE COPY OF THE FIXATION FOR the YEAR 2014-15 (ORDER DATED 15.7.2014)
ANNEXURE R1(b)	THE TRUE COPY OF THE STAFF STATEMENT PROCEEDINGS DATED 14.5.2014
ANNEXURE R1(c)	THE TRUE COPY OF THE STAFF STATEMENT PROCEEDINGS DATED 15.7.2014

/TRUE COPY/

PA TO JUDGE

VS

K.VINOD CHANDRAN, J.

Con.Case(C) No.379 of 2013

Dated this the 20th day of November, 2015

JUDGMENT

The contempt case has been filed on the ground that the 4th respondent Manager has not complied with the direction of this Court to appoint the petitioner in the next arising vacancy.

2. Counter affidavit has been filed by the Manager, contending that there no vacancy arose, after the judgment passed by this Court.

In the circumstance, the contempt case is closed, leaving upon all the contentions of the petitioner.

Sd/-
K.VINOD CHANDRAN
JUDGE

VS