

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE T.R.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR

TUESDAY, THE 11TH DAY OF AUGUST 2015/20TH SRAVANA, 1937

Con.Case(C).No. 329 of 2013 (S) IN WP(C).329/2012

**-----
IN WP(C) 13816/2012 of HIGH COURT OF KERALA DATED 19-07-2012**

PETITIONER(S)/PETITIONER:

**-----
C. RAMACHANDRAN, ADVOCATE, AGED 39 YEARS,
S/O.KANNAN NAMBIAR, CHEROOTARA HOUSE, PONNAMVAYAL
PADIYOTTUCHAL, PAYYANNUR, KANNUR DISTRICT.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.M.SASINDRAN
SRI.PRADEESH MATHEW**

RESPONDENT(S)/IST RESPONDENT:

**-----
RATHAN KHELKAR, IAS
AGE AND FATHER'S NAME NOT KNOWN TO THE PETITIONER
THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, KANNUR-670 001.**

R1 BY ADV. GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.RAMPRASAD UNNI.T.

**THIS CONTEMPT OF COURT CASE (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD
ON 11-08-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:**

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' ANNEXURE :

**ANNEXURE AI : CERTIFIED COPY OF THE JUDGMENT IN W.P.C.NO.13816/2012
DATED 19.7.2012**

**ANNEXURE AII : A TRUE COPY OF THE LETTER SENT BY THE PETITIONER
SHOWING THE RECEIPT OF THE JUDGMENT AND THE WRIT
PETITION.**

RESPONDENT(S)' ANNEXURE :

**ANNEXURE R(A) : THE TRUE COPY OF THE DETAILS OF THE PROCEEDINGS
DATED 29.1.2013.**

**ANNEXURE R1(B) : TRUE COPY OF THE LETTER NO.5639/M.C-1/2013/LAW
DATED 29.4.2013 RECEIVED FROM THE LAW DEPARTMENT**

ANNEXURE R1(C) : TRUE COPY OF THE PROCEEDINGS DATED 9.9.2013

ANNEXURE R1(D) : TRUE COPY OF THE COMMUNICATION DATED 14.8.2013

ANNEXURE R1(E) : TRUE COPY OF THE COMMUNICATION DATED 12.6.2013

/TRUE COPY/

PA TO JUDGE

VS

T.R.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR, J.

Con.Case.No.329 of 2013

Dated this the 11th day of August, 2015

JUDGMENT

This contempt case is filed by the petitioner, who was appointed as Additional Government Pleader, Payyannur. Apparently, the claims of the petitioner for payment of legal fee have not been complied with. In Annexure A1 judgment, directions have been issued to disburse the entire amounts within a period of three months. Thereafter this Contempt case is filed stating that the directions were not fully complied with.

2. The respondents have filed a detailed affidavit, explaining various facts.

3. It is seen that the respondent has taken steps and have disbursed certain amounts. But the learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the entire claims have not been settled so far. He prayed that this Court may grant permission to re-agitate the matter by disputing the correctness of the amounts finalized by the respondent.

Therefore the contempt case is closed allowing liberty to the petitioner to seek appropriate remedies for release of further amounts, if any, due to the petitioner.

Sd/-
T.R.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR,
JUDGE

VS