

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.MUHAMED MUSTAQUE

THURSDAY, THE 1ST DAY OF OCTOBER 2015/9TH ASWINA, 1937

Con.Case(C).No. 1245 of 2015 (S)

AGAINST THE ORDER W.P.(C).NO.13248/2015, DATED 08-05-2015

PETITIONER(S) :

**A.M.BREWRIES PVT.LTD.,
ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE NO.29, THILAK STREET, T.NAGAR
CHENNAI-600 017,
REPRESENTED BY ITS SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT (OPERATIONS),
R.KUMAR.**

BY ADV. SRI.K.R.SUNIL

RESPONDENT(S) :

**1. ANIL XAVIER,
(FATHERS NAME AND AGE NOT KNOWN TO THE PETITIONER),
THE MANAGING DIRECTOR,
KERALA STATE BEVERAGES CORPORATION PVT.LTD.,
SASTHA KRIPA OFFICE COMPLEX, SASTHAMANGALAM,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695 001.**

**2. JEEVAN BABU,
(FATHERS NAME AND AGE NOT KNOWN TO THE PETITIONER),
THE MANAGING DIRECTOR,
KERALA STATE BEVERAGES CORPORATION PVT.LTD.,
SASTHA KRIPA OFFICE COMPLEX, SASTHAMANGALAM,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695 001.**

BY ADV. SRI.C.S.AJITH PRAKASH, S.C

**THIS CONTEMPT OF COURT CASE (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR
ADMISSION ON 01-10-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED
THE FOLLOWING:**

Msd.

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' ANNEXURES :

**ANNEXURE A1: THE CERTIFIED COPY OF THE INTERIM ORDER PASSED
BY THIS HONOURABLE COURT ON 08.05.2015.**

RESPONDENT(S)' ANNEXURES :

**ANNEXURE R2(A): A TRUE COPY OF THE COMMUNICATION LETTER
INDICATING THE REASON FOR REJECTION ISSUED TO
A.M.BREWRIES AND ACCEPTED BY THE
REPRESENTATIVE OF THE COMPANY DATED 30.05.2015.**

**ANNEXURE R2(B): A TRUE COPY OF THE COMMUNICATION ISSUED BY
THE POWER OF ATTORNEY HOLDER.**

**ANNEXURE R2(C): A TRUE COPY OF THE LETTER DATED 20.04.2015 ISSUED
BY PRABATH VENTURES.**

**ANNEXURE R2(D): A TRUE COPY OF THE E-MAIL COMMUNICATION
DATED 09.06.2015.**

//TRUE COPY//

P.S.TO JUDGE.

Msd.

A.MUHAMED MUSTAQUE, J.

Cont.Case(C)No. 1245 of 2015

Dated this the 1st day of October, 2015

J U D G M E N T

This Court, by interim order dated 8.5.2015 directed the Managing Director of the Beverages Corporation Ltd. to consider the offer of the petitioner in the next Board meeting. It is decided not to consider the tender because it was not submitted through E-tender portal. In view of the above, this Court is of the view that the petitioner is free to challenge the order and compliance is recorded.

This Contempt of Court Case is closed.

A.MUHAMED MUSTAQUE,
Judge

MBS/

