

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE V. CHITAMBARESH

WEDNESDAY, THE 5TH DAY OF AUGUST 2015/14TH SRAVANA, 1937

Con. Case(C).No. 1097 of 2015 (S) IN WP(C).22141/2015

JUDGMENT IN WP(C) 22141/2015 of HIGH COURT OF KERALA DATED 23-07-2015

PETITIONER/PETITIONER IN WP(C):

DEEPA SHERLY S., AGED 42 YEARS,
W/O. SIJU VARGHESE, KEVILLIL HOUSE,
KARIMKUNNAM P.O., THODUPUZHA - 685 586.

BY ADVS. SRI. SHAJI THOMAS
SRI. BINU PAUL

RESPONDENT/3RD RESPONDENT IN WP(C):

VALSAMMA JAMES, W/O. JAMES, AGED ABOUT 50 YEARS,
CONVENER, DISCIPLINARY SUB COMMITTEE,
IDUKKI DISTRICT EX-SERVICEMEN CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETY
LIMITED NO. I-1548, THODUPUZHA P.O., PIN - 686 586.

R1 BY ADV. SRI. V. G. ARUN
R1 BY ADV. SRI. T. R. HARIKUMAR

THIS CONTEMPT OF COURT CASE (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON
05-08-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

COC NO. 1097/2015

APPENDIX

ANNEXURE A1 : CERTIFIED COPY OF THE ORDER DATED 23.07.2015 IN WP
(C) NO. 22141 OF 2015.

ANNEXURE A2 : TRUE PHOTOCOPY OF THE ORDER DATED 24.07.2015
ISSUED BY THE RESPONDENT.

/TRUE COPY/

P.A. TO JUDGE.

V. CHITAMBARESH, J

C.O.C. NO. 1097 OF 2015
IN
WP(C) NO. 22141 OF 2015

Dated this the 5th day of August, 2015

JUDGMENT

The respondent concedes that the services of the petitioner have not hitherto been terminated in view of Annexure A1 order of status quo. This is because Annexure A2 order of termination would take effect only from the afternoon of 24.07.2015 much after the respondent was served with Annexure A1 order.

No case for initiating contempt of court proceedings exists in view of the stand taken by the respondent. The Contempt Case is dismissed.

V. CHITAMBARESH
JUDGE

ncd