

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.V.RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI

MONDAY, THE 7TH DAY OF SEPTEMBER 2015/16TH BHADRA, 1937

Con.Case(C).No. 1094 of 2015 (S)

AGAINST THE JUDGMENT IN W.P.(C).NO.30520 OF 2009, DATED 08.01.2015

PETITIONER(S)/6TH PETITIONER IN THE W.P.(C).NO.30520 OF 2009 :

**SUDHEER, AGED 50 YEARS,
S/O.JALALUDHEEN KUNJU, AZHEEKAL, THURUTHUMMURIYIL,
ALAPPAD VILLAGE, KARUNAGAPPALLY.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.R.LAKSHMI NARAYAN
SMT.R.RANJINI**

RESPONDENT(S)/RESPONDENTS 1 TO 3 IN W.P.(C).NO.30520 OF 2009 :

- 1. KAUSHINGAM,
AGE AND SON OF, NOT KNOWN TO THE PETITIONER,
THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, KOLLAM.**
- 2. RAJEEV,
AGE AND SON OF, NOT KNOWN TO THE PETITIONER,
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER, KERALA STATE P.W.D ROADS DIVISION,
KERALA PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT, KOLLAM.**
- 3. HARIKRISHNAN,
AGE AND SON OF, NOT KNOWN TO THE PETITIONER,
ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER-IN-CHARGE (P.W.D),
BRIDGE'S SECTION,
OFFICE OF THE ASSISTANT ENGINEER BRIDGES SECTION,
KARUNAGAPPALLY.**

BY SR.GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.SAIDALAVI.

**THIS CONTEMPT OF COURT CASE (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR
ADMISSION ON 07-09-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED
THE FOLLOWING:**

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' ANNEXURES :

- ANNEXURE A1: THE PHOTOCOPY OF THE JUDGMENT DATED 08.01.2015, IN WRIT PETITION NO.30520 OF 2009.
- ANNEXURE A2: THE PHOTOCOPY OF THE GOVERNMENT ORDER DATED 30.07.2007.
- ANNEXURE A3: THE PHOTOCOPY OF THE GOVERNMENT ORDER DATED 26.10.2007.
- ANNEXURE A4: THE PHOTOCOPY OF THE COMMUNICATION DATED 25.03.2015 ISSUED BY THE GOVERNMENT OF KERALA.
- ANNEXURE A5: THE PHOTOCOPY OF THE COMMUNICATION DATED 22.06.2015 ISSUED BY THE SECRETARY OF THE ALLAPAD GRAMA PANCHAYATH.

RESPONDENT(S)' ANNEXURES :

NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.A.TO JUDGE.

Msd.

A.V.RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI, J.

=====

C.O(C) No.1094 of 2015

in W.P(C) No.30520 of 2009

=====

Dated this the 7th day of September, 2015

JUDGMENT

The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that against the judgment of this Court, a writ appeal has been filed, which is admitted and stay has been granted. Therefore, the contempt case is closed reserving the right of the petitioner to approach this Court if ultimately, the Division Bench upholds the judgment.

Sd/-

**A.V.RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI
JUDGE**

krj