

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE V.CHITAMBARESH

TUESDAY, THE 4TH DAY OF AUGUST 2015/13TH SRAVANA, 1937

Con.Case(C).No. 619 of 2015 (S) IN WP(C).16981/2014

AGAINST THE JUDGMENT IN WP(C) 16981/2014 of HIGH COURT OF KERALA DATED
03-02-2015

PETITIONER(S)/1ST PETITIONER:

SREEJITH M. AGED 40 YEARS
S/O.BALAKRISHNAN, SUBASREE, MALAPARAMBA
CHEVAYUR, CALICUT.

BY ADVS.SRI.B.S.SWATHY KUMAR
SRI.A.K.RAJESH
SRI.VENKATESH GOPI
SMT.T.RESHMA

RESPONDENT(S)/RESPONDENT NO.3:

N.PRASANTH, I.A.S.
(AGE AND FATHERS NAME NOT KNOWN TO THE PETITIONER)
DISTRICT COLLECTOR
KOZHIKODE-673001.

R. BY ADV. SR.GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.THOMAS JOHN AMBOOKEN.

THIS CONTEMPT OF COURT CASE (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY
HEARD ON 04-08-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:

V.CHITAMBARESH, J.

Con.Case (C) No.619 of 2015

Dated this the 4th day of August, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The issue is covered by Raveendran v. State of India [2015 (3) KLT 210]. It has been held therein that the proceedings will not lapse. An Award would be passed only after the rules are framed under the Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Re-settlement Act, 2013. No case for initiating proceedings under the Contempt of Courts Act exist.

The Contempt Proceedings are hence dropped.

Sd/-
V.CHITAMBARESH,
Judge.

nj.