

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P.D.RAJAN

TUESDAY, THE 21ST DAY OF JULY 2015/30TH ASHADHA, 1937

CRL.A.No. 544 of 2004 ()

AGAINST THE JUDGMENT IN CC 1974/2002 of ADDL.C.J.M., ERNAKULAM DATED
10-02-2003

AGAINST THE JUDGMENT IN CrI.L.P. 210/2004 of HIGH COURT OF KERALA
DATED 17-03-2004

APPELLANT/COMPLAINANT:

M/S.VIDEOCON INTERNATIONAL LIMITED,
M.G.ROAD, ERNAKULAM REPRESENTED BY POWER OF
ATTORNEY HOLDER MR.JOSHY JOSEPH.

BY ADV. SRI.J.KRISHNAKUMAR

RESPONDENT(S)/ACCUSED:

1. MR.ABDUL LATHEEF, PROPRIETOR
M/S.ARAKKAL AGENCIES, ARACKAL HUSE, VADANAPPALLY
TRICHUR.

2. STATE OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY PUBLIC
PROSECUTOR, HIGH COURT OF KERALA, ERNAKULAM.

BY PP SMT SEENA RAMAKRISHNAN

THIS CRIMINAL APPEAL HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 21-07-
2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

P.D.RAJAN, J

.....
Crl.Appeal No.544 of 2004

.....
Dated 21st July, 2015

JUDGMENT

This appeal is preferred against the judgment in C.C.1974 of 2002 of Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Ernakulam. The above case was filed under Section 138 of N.I.Act. On 10.2.2004, the complainant was absent and there was no representation. The learned counsel for the complainant was also absent. On that ground, the accused was acquitted under Section 256(1) Cr.P.C. Against that order, appellant preferred this appeal. Leave granted on 26.3.2004. When this appeal came up for hearing, this court directed to issue notice to the respondent on 31.3.2004. Even after that direction, no steps are taken to issue notice to the respondent.

Today when the matter came up for hearing, there is no representation and no steps taken so far. Hence this appeal is dismissed for non prosecution.

P.D.RAJAN, JUDGE

lgk