

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DAMA SESHADRI NAIDU

WEDNESDAY, THE 1ST DAY OF APRIL 2015/11TH CHAITHRA, 1937

Con.Case(C).No. 370 of 2015 (S) IN WP(C).29326/2014

WP(C) 29326/2014 of HIGH COURT OF KERALA DATED 24-11-2014

PETITIONER:

MUNICIPAL CORPORATION WORKERS UNION (CITU),
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY-R VIJAYAN, S/O.RAGHAVAN
CPM AREA COMMITTEE OFFICE, NEAR KOLLAM CORPORATION
KOLLAM 695 001

BY ADVS.SRI.P.RAVEENDRAN
SRI.T.R.JAGADEESH

RESPONDENTS:

P.VIJAYAN, AGED 55 YEARS,
FATHER'S NAME NOT KNOWN TO THE PETITIONER,
SECRETARY
THR KOLLAM MUNICIPAL CORPORATION, KOLLAM 695 001

R1 BY ADV. SRI.M.K.CHANDRA MOHAN DAS,SC,KOLLAM MPT

THIS CONTEMPT OF COURT CASE (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR
ADMISSION ON 01-04-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:

Con.Case(C).No. 370 of 2015

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S ANNEXURES:

ANNEXURE 1 : CERTIFIED COPY OF JUDGMENT DATED 24.11.2014 IN WPC
29326 OF 2014

RESPONDENT'S ANNEXURES:

R1(a) : TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER NO.H8/G13/59161/14 DATED 10.02.2015

//TRUE COPY//

P.A. TO JUDGE

smv

DAMA SESHADRI NAIDU, J.

COC No.370 of 2015

Dated this the 1st day of April, 2015

J U D G M E N T

On 24th November, 2014, this Court disposed of
W.P.(C) No.29326/14 with the following directions:

“ In the facts and circumstances, having regard to the respective submissions of the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Standing Counsel for the third respondent Corporation, this Court, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the matter, disposes of the present writ petition with a direction to the third respondent to consider Exhibit P8 representation of the petitioner in accordance with law, and pass appropriate orders thereon as expeditiously as possible, at any rate, within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment.”

With the above observation, this writ petition is disposed of.”

2. Complaining of non-compliance with the above direction by the respondents, the petitioner has moved the present contempt petition.

3. Now the learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the judgment has been implemented.

Recording the said submission, this Court closes the writ petition as having not survived for further consideration.

sd/-
DAMA SESHADRI NAIDU,
JUDGE

smv