

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE C.K.ABDUL REHIM

WEDNESDAY, THE 8TH DAY OF APRIL 2015/18TH CHAITHRA, 1937

Con.Case(C).No. 367 of 2015 (S) IN WP(C).11476/2009

WP(C) 11476/2009 of HIGH COURT OF KERALA

PETITIONER:

**JOSEPH DEVASIA KURUVATHAZHA, AGED 83 YEARS
S/O.LATE DEVASIA, KURUVATHAZHA HOUSE, KOODARANJI P.O.
KOZHIKODE DISTRICT, PIN 673604.**

BY ADV. SRI.BABU JOSEPH KURUVATHAZHA

RESPONDENTS 2 & 3 IN WP(C):

- 1. AMANDEEP KAUR
AGE AND NAME OF THE FATHER ARE NOT KNOWN TO THE PETITIONER
WORKING AS DIVISIONAL FOREST OFFICER, CIVIL STATION
KOZHIKODE, KOZHIKODE DISTRICT, PIN 673020.**
- 2. SAJI KUMAR RAROTH
AGE AND NAME OF THE FATHER ARE NOT KNOWN TO THE PETITIONER
WORKING AS FOREST RANGE OFFICER, THAMARASSERY RANGE
THAMARASSERY P.O., KOZHIKODE DISTRICT, PIN 673573.**

R1 BY SPECIAL GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI. M.P.MADHAVAN KUTTY

**THIS CONTEMPT OF COURT CASE (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD
ON 08-04-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:**

WP(C).11476/2009

APPENDIX

PETITIONERS EXHIBITS:-

ANNEXURE A: COPY OF THE JUDGMENT DATED 23.7.2013 IN W.P.(C).NO.11476/2009.

RESPONDENTS EXHIBITS:-

ANNEXURE R1(A): COPY OF THE PROCEEDINGS BEARING NO.B6-7843/1998 DATED 6.4.2015 BY THE CHIEF CONSERVATOR OF FORESTS AND CUSTODIAN OF VESTED FORESTS EASTERN CIRCLE, PALAKKAD.

ANNEXURE R1(B): COPY OF THE PROCEEDINGS BEARING NO.T.2198/2009 DATED 7.4.2015 BY THE DIVISIONAL FOREST OFFICER KOZHIKODE.

/TRUE COPY/

P.A. TO JUDGE

SKV

C.K.ABDUL REHIM, J.

=====

Cont.Case (C) No.367 OF 2015

=====

Dated this the 8th day of April, 2015

JUDGMENT

In an affidavit filed by the 1st respondent on today, it is stated that a proceedings was already issued by the Chief Conservator of Forests on 6.4.2015 ordering restoration of possession with respect to the property covered under the judgment in O.A.No.80/1998. It is also mentioned that a further proceedings was issued by the 1st respondent on 7.4.2015 to the effect of restoring the property in question. It is also stated in the affidavit that a Mahazar was prepared with respect to restoration of the property and the physical possession was already handed over to the petitioner.

2. Under the above mentioned circumstances it is evident that the directions contained in Annexure-A judgment stands complied with. Since compliance of the

directions is reported, this court do not find it necessary to proceed further in this Contempt of Court case.

Accordingly this contempt of Court Case is hereby closed.

C.K.ABDUL REHIM, JUDGE

SKV