

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.MUHAMED MUSTAQUE

MONDAY, THE 13TH DAY OF JULY 2015/22ND ASHADHA, 1937

Con.Case(C).No. 358 of 2015 (S)

JUDGMENT DTD.5.6.2014 IN WP(C) 22481/2014

.....

PETITIONER(S):

1. PREETHY PRATHAPAN, AGED 24 YEARS,
(6TH PETITIONER IN WPC), D/O. PRATHAPAN,
IRAKKUKADAVIL HOUSE, BRAHMAMANGALAM P.O.,
VAIKKOM - 686 605.
2. SARANYA S., AGED 23 YEARS,
(2ND PETITIONER IN WPC), D/O.RAJENDRAN PILLAI,
VELIYIL HOUSE, MANNANCHERI P.O., ALAPPUZHA - 688 532.
3. PRIYALAKSHMI P., AGED 24 YEARS,
(4TH PETITIONER IN WPC), D/O. PRASAD,
NELLITHANATH HOUSE, UZHAVOOR EAST P.O.,
UZHAVOOR - 686 634.

BY ADVS.SMT.K.K.JYOTHILAKSHMY
SMT.S.SOUMYA ISSAC

RESPONDENT(S):

1. A.BHATTACHARYA, AGE NOT KNOWN,
FATHER'S NAME NOT KNOWN TO THE PARTY CHAIRMAN,
STAFF SELECTION COMMISSION,
(1ST RESPONDENT IN WPC), BLOCK NO. 12, CGO COMPLEX
LODHI ROAD, NEW DELHI - 110 003.
2. SRI.S.GOPALAN,
AGE NOT KNOWN, FATHER'S NAME NOT KNOWN TO THE PARTY,
REGIONAL DIRECTOR, (2ND RESPONDENT IN WPC),
STAFF SELECTION COMMISSION,
KARNATAKA - KERALA REGION, 1ST FLOOR, E WING,
KENDRIYA SECTION, BANGALOE - 560 034.
3. SHRI.ARAVINDA RAJAN,
AGE NOT KNOWN, FATHER'S NAME NOT KNOWN TO THE PARTY,
DIRECTOR GENERAL, (5TH RESPONDENT IN WPC),
CENTRAL INDUSTRIAL SECURITY FORCE, BLOCK NO. 13,
CGO COMPLEX, LODHI ROAD, NEW DELHI - 110 003.

R1-R3 BY ADVS. SRI M.S.VINEETH, CGC
SRI.N.NAGARESH, ASSISTANT SOLICITOR GENERAL

THIS CONTEMPT OF COURT CASE (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR
ADMISSION ON 13-07-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY
DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

msv/

Con.Case(C).No. 358 of 2015 (S)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' ANNEXURES:

ANNEXURE I: CERTIFIED COPY OF JUDGMENT IN WP(C) NO22481/2013 DTD.5.6.2014.

ANNEXURE II: TRUE COPY OF THE RECEIPT OF NOTICE BY COURIER DTD.24.2.2015.

RESPONDENT(S)' ANNEXURES:

**ANNEXURE R1: TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER NO.C.210001/12/2013-SSC (KKR)
DTD.18.2.2015 SENT TO THE PETITIONERS FROM THE OFFICE OF
THE RESPONDENTS.**

//TRUE COPY//

P.S.TO JUDGE

Msv/

A.MUHAMED MUSTAQUE, J.

Contempt Case (C) No.358 of 2015

Dated this the 13th day of July, 2015

JUDGMENT

Both sides submit that the directions in the judgment in W.P. (C) No.22481/2014, have been complied with. In that view of the matter, if the petitioners have any further grievance, they are at liberty to challenge in an appropriate manner.

With that liberty, this Contempt Case is closed.

Sd/-

A.MUHAMED MUSTAQUE, JUDGE

In