

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.MUHAMED MUSTAQUE

MONDAY, THE 27TH DAY OF JULY 2015/5TH SRAVANA, 1937

Con.Case(C).No. 246 of 2015 (S)

INTERIM ORDER IN I.A. NO.21/2015 IN WP(C).NO.32339/2014 DATED 13-01-2015.

.....

PETITIONER/PETITIONER IN THE WRIT PETITION:

BEEBA K.NATH, AGED 36 YEARS,
W/O.SATHEESH KUMAR, HSA (ENGLISH),
CMM HIGHER SECONDARY SCHOOL, THALAKULATHUR,
KOZHIKODE, KOZHIKODE DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.R.K.MURALEEDHARAN.

RESPONDENTS/4TH RESPONDENT & ADDITIONAL 7TH RESPONDENT
IN THE WRIT PETITION:

1. JAYASREE,
AGE AND FATHER'S NAME NOT KNOWN TO THE PETITIONER,
DISTRICT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER, KOZHIKODE,
KOZHIKODE DISTRICT.

2. SUMESAN. N., AGED 52 YEARS,
S/O.GOVINDAN, HEADMASTER,
CMM HIGHER SECONDARY SCHOOL,
THALAKULATHUR, KOZHIKODE DISTRICT.

* ADDL. R3 IMPEADED

3. GIRIJA,
AGE AND FATHER'S NAME NOT KNOWN TO THE PETITIONER,
DISTRICT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER,
KOZHIKODE, KOZHIKODE DISTRICT,

* ADDL. R3 IS IMPEADED AS PER ORDER DATED 01.06.2015 IN
IA. NO. 179/2015 IN COC.246/2015.

R1 & ADDL. R3 BY GOVT. PLEADER SMT.M.T. SHEEBA.

THIS CONTEMPT OF COURT CASE (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD
ON 22-07-2015, THE COURT ON 27/07/2015 DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

rs.

Con.Case(C).No. 246 of 2015 (S)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S ANNEXURES:-

- ANNEXURE A1** **COPY OF THE INTERIM ORDER DATED 02/12/2014.**
- ANNEXURE A2** **CERTIFIED COPY OF THE INTERIM ORDER IN I.A. NO.20 & 21 OF 2015 DATED 13/01/2015.**
- ANNEXURE A3** **COPY OF THE COVERING LETTER.**
- ANNEXURE A4** **COPY OF THE STATEMENT OF PAY AND ALLOWANCE S IN RESPECT OF CMM HS THALAKULATHUR FOR JANUARY 2015.**

RESPONDENT'S ANNEXURES:- **NIL.**

//TRUE COPY//

P.S. TO JUDGE

rs.

A.MUHAMED MUSTAQUE, J.

~~~~~  
**C.O.C.No.246/2015**  
~~~~~

Dated this the 27th Day of July, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The Contempt of Court Case is filed against non compliance of the directions in the interim order in I.A.No.21/2015 in W.P.(C).No.32339/2014 dated 13/01/2015 directing the competent among the respondents to pay salary to the petitioner provisionally subject to the result of the writ petition.

2. Today, this Court by separate judgment disposed of the writ petition.

3. In view of the directions issued in the judgment in the writ petition, I am of the view that the Contempt of Court Case do not survive and it is, accordingly, dismissed. However, the petitioner is free to approach this Court again if so warranted in future.

Sd/-

A.MUHAMED MUSTAQUE, JUDGE

ms