

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DAMA SESHADRI NAIDU

FRIDAY, THE 20TH DAY OF FEBRUARY 2015/1ST PHALGUNA, 1936

Con.Case(C).No. 169 of 2015 (S)

(AGAINST THE ORDER/JUDGMENT IN WP(C) 27442/2014 of HIGH COURT OF KERALA)

PETITIONER(S)/PETITIONER:

NEENA MAJEED, AGED 52 YEARS
W/O.SAINUDEEN, ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ENGINEER(SR),
KERALA WATER AUTHORITY, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM
RESIDING AT APARTMENT NO. 1-C, SFS ROOFER GREENS,
MUSEUM JUNCTION, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM -3

BY ADVS.SMT.M.U.VIJAYALAKSHMI
SRI.BRIJESH MOHAN

RESPONDENT(S)/2ND RESPONDENT:

SRI. T.C.SUBRAN,
AGE AND FATHERS NAME NOT KNOWN TO THE PETITIONER,
MANAGING DIRECTOR - IN - CHARGE & CONVENER
DEPARTMENT PROMOTION COMMITTEE, (TECHNICAL HIGHER)
KERALA WATER AUTHORITY, JALA BHAVAN,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM - 695 033.

R BY SRI.JOSEPH JOHN, SC, KERALA WATER AUTHORITY

THIS CONTEMPT OF COURT CASE (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR
ADMISSION ON 20-02-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:

DAMA SESHADRI NAIDU, J.

C.O.C. No. 169 OF 2015

Dated this the 20th day of February, 2015

JUDGMENT

The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that subsequent to the filing of the contempt case, the respondents have complied with the direction given in the judgment dated 21.10.2014 in W.P.(C) No.27442 of 2014 though belatedly. According to the learned counsel, since the claim of the petitioner stands rejected, it is essential that the petitioner may have to lay fresh challenge against the order passed.

In the facts and circumstances, I do not say any issue is surviving for consideration. Accordingly, the contempt case is closed.

Sd/-

**DAMA SESHADRI NAIDU,
Judge.**

dpk

/True copy/ PS to Judge.

dpk

W.P.(c).No... of 2014

: 3 :