

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.V.RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI

THURSDAY, THE 21ST DAY OF MAY 2015/31ST VAISAKHA, 1937

Con.Case(C).No. 166 of 2015 (S)

AGAINST THE JUDGMENT IN WP(C) 16628/2012 DATED 23-06-2014

PETITIONER :

**T.V.RAMACHANDRAN
S/O. VELAYUDHAN PILLAI, AGED 63 YEARS
THAZHEPERUMPATTETHU HOUSE, KULANADA P.O., PANTHALAM
ALAPUZHA DISTRICT - 689 503.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.P.ALI
SRI.A.MUHAMMED HASHIM**

RESPONDENT :

**KRISHNAKUMAR CHAUDHARY, IPS
AGE NOT KNOWN TO THE PETITIONER,
FATHER'S NAME NOT KNOWN TO THE PETITIONER
DIRECTOR GENERAL, INDO TIBETAN BORDER POLICE FORCE
BLOCK NO.2, CGO COMPLEX, LODHI ROAD
NEW DELHI - 110 003.**

BY ADV. SRI.N.NAGARESH, ASGI

**THIS CONTEMPT OF COURT CASE (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR
ADMISSION ON 21-05-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

Mn

A.V. RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI, J.

Cont. Case (C) No. 166 of 2015

in

W.P.(C) No. 16628 of 2012

Dated this the 21st day of May, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner has filed this contempt case alleging that the judgment of this Court dated 23.06.2014 in WP(C) No.16628/2012 has not been complied with.

2. Today, when the matter came up for hearing, the learned Standing Counsel for the respondent, referring to the counter affidavit filed, submitted that the petitioner's representation has been considered and orders have been passed.

3. Though the petitioner alleges that the order is not favourable to him, the only option available to him is to challenge the order now passed.

Therefore, the contempt case is closed with the aforesaid observation.

Sd/-

A.V. RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI
JUDGE

bka/-