

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.RAMAKRISHNAN

WEDNESDAY, THE 25TH DAY OF FEBRUARY 2015/6TH PHALGUNA, 1936

Con.Case(C).No. 121 of 2015 (S) IN WP(C).2838/2014

**AGAINST THE JUDGMENT IN WP(C) 2838/2014 of HIGH COURT OF KERALA,
DATED 08-04-2014**

PETITIONER(S):

**KERALA NCC CIVILIAN STAFF ASSOCIATION REGD.
REPRESENTED BY ITS PRESIDENT, P.C.UNNIKRISHNAN,
S/O.LATE P.S.CHAKRAPANI, AGED 53 YEARS, RESIDING AT 18/166,
PULICKALPARAMBIL HOUSE, PALLURUTHY, COCHIN - 682 006.**

BY ADV. SRI.N.RADHAKRISHNAN

RESPONDENT(S)/RESPONDENTS:

**SMT.NALINI NETTO,
(AGE AND FATHER'S NAME IS NOT KNOWN TO THIS PETITIONER)
THE PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
VIGILANCE DEPARTMENT, GOVERNMENT OF KERALA.**

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT. V.H. JASMINE.

**THIS CONTEMPT OF COURT CASE (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 25-02-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:**

:2:

Con.Case(C).No. 121 of 2015 (S) IN WP(C).2838/2014

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

**ANNEXURE A1: CERTIFIED COPY OF THE JUDGEMENT IN WPC NO. 2838/2014
DATED 08/04/2014.**

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS

: NIL

// True Copy//

P.A. to Judge.

SS

K. RAMAKRISHNAN, J.

.....
C.O.C.No.121 of 2015

.....
Dated this the 25th day of February, 2015

J U D G M E N T

Respondent filed an affidavit stating that on the basis of the recommendations of the Vigilance Department actions have been taken for recovery of the amount to the tune of ₹38,000/- as towards the cost of old cubicle from Brigadier Subrahmanyam, Deputy Director General, NCC; Smt.Lekha, Administrative Officer and Smt.Shani, Administrative Assistant and also prayed for condone the delay of 230 days in complying with the condition.

The counsel for the petitioner submitted that, they have not taken any criminal action for misappropriation as such. However, it is for the Government to decide what is the nature of action to be taken on the basis of vigilance report submitted and so the affidavit of the respondent is recorded and no further action is required in this regard for

taking action for contempt. So the petition is closed, but however the liberty of the petitioner to move the appropriate forum, if he is not satisfied with the action taken in accordance with law is reserved.

Sd/-

K. Ramakrishnan, Judge

// True Copy //

P.A. to Judge

ss