

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

**THE HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR.ASHOK BHUSHAN
&
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHAJI P.CHALY**

FRIDAY, THE 10TH DAY OF APRIL 2015/20TH CHAITHRA, 1937

Con.Case(C).No. 111 of 2015 (S) IN WA.1374/2014

**AGAINST THE JUDGMENT IN WA 1374/2014 of HIGH COURT OF KERALA
DATED 03-12-2014**

PETITIONER/RESPNDENT:

**REYNA GEORGE ,AGED 19 YEARS, B.TECH (EEE) STUDENT,
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, CHENGANNUR, RESIDING AT PARAPPULLY HOUSE
KADUPPASSERY P.O., THRISSUR DISTRICT PIN 680 698.**

BY ADV. SRI.JOLLY JOHN

RESPONDENT/1ST RESPONDENT:

**DR.S.SHEELA, PRESENTLY DIRECTOR IN CHARGE, IHRD, HAVING OFFICIAL
ADDRESS AT PRINCIPAL COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM 695 016. HAVING EMAIL ID
sheelasureshababu@gmail.com, RESIDING AT MANAS,TC 08/0772,
PONGUMMOODU, MEDICAL COLLEGE P.O.,THIRUVANANTHAPURAM
695 011 KERALA STATE, INDIA. (AGED ABOUT 58; FATHER'S NAME NOT KNOWN
TO THE PETITIONER)**

BY ADV. SRI.V.A.MOHAMMED SC, IHRD

**THIS CONTEMPT OF COURT CASE (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON
10-04-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:**

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S ANNEXURES

- ANNEXURE A-1 : CERTIFIED COPY OF THE JUDGMENT DATED 3RD
DECEMBER 2014 OF HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF KERALA IN
WRIT APPEAL 1374/2014**
- ANNEXURE A-2 : TRUE COPY OF THE COMMUNICATION DATED 13TH
JANUARY 2015, NUMBEWRED AS NO:DA1/11364/2013/HRD
ISSUED BY THE RESPONDENT/CONTEMNOR**

RESPONDENT'S ANNEXURES

NIL

/TRUE COPY/

PA TO JUDGE

JJJ

ASHOK BHUSHAN, C.J.

&

SHAJI P. CHALY, J.

Contempt Case (Civil) No. 111 of 2015 (S)

Dated this the 10th day of April, 2015

J U D G M E N T

Ashok Bhushan, C.J.

Heard the learned counsel for the parties.

2. This contempt application has been filed alleging non compliance of the judgment dated 3.12.2014 passed in Writ Appeal No.1374/2014.

3. The learned counsel for the respondent has made a statement that in pursuance of the order passed by the Division Bench, the claim of the petitioner has been conceded to and a decision has been taken.

4. The learned counsel for the applicant submits that the affected parties have already filed a writ petition against the said order.

5. Be that as it may, since a decision has been taken in pursuance of the judgment of this Court, we see no reason to proceed with the contempt any further. However, we make it clear that it shall be open for the affected parties to pursue the writ petition.

This contempt petition is closed accordingly.

Sd/-
ASHOK BHUSHAN,
CHIEF JUSTICE.

Sd/-
SHAJI P. CHALY,
JUDGE.

jjj