

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DAMA SESHADRI NAIDU

TUESDAY, THE 20TH DAY OF JANUARY 2015/30TH POUSHA, 1936

Con.Case(C).No. 63 of 2015 (S)

WP(C) 23232/2014 of HIGH COURT OF KERALA

PETITIONERS :

-
1. **A.MOHANAN, AGED 53 YEARS,
S/O. ARU, CHAMBAKULAM HOUSE, VADAVANNOORE P.O.
PALAKKAD DISTRICT REPRESENTLY WORKING AS
PROVISIONAL DRIVER KSRTC DEPOT PALAKKAD
UNDER THE DISTRICT TRANSPORT OFFICER, KSRTC
PALAKKAD.**
 2. **K. KUPPUNNY,
S/O. MAYAPPAN, EKKODE HOUSE, PERIMKUNNAM P.O.
P.O. KUZHALMANNAM, PALAKKAD DISTRICT
PRESENTLY WORKING AS EMPANELLED DRIVER, KSRTC DEPOT
PALAKKAD UNDER THE DISTRICT TRANSPORT OFFICER, KSRTC
PALAKKAD.**

BY ADV. SRI.JOHN JOSEPH(ROY)

RESPONDENT :

**ANTONY CHACKO, AGED 54 YEARS,
S/O. CHACKO, CHAIRMAN AND MANAGING DIRECTOR,
THE KERALA STATE ROAD TRANSPORT CORPORATION,
TRANSPORT BHAVAN, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM -695 039.**

BY SRI.M.GOPIKRISHNAN NAMBIAR, SC, KSRTC

**THIS CONTEMPT OF COURT CASE (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR
ADMISSION ON 20-01-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY
DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:**

bp

Con.Case(C).No. 63 of 2015 (S)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S ANNEXURES :

ANNEXURE 1: COPY OF THE JUDGMENT IN DT 7/1/2014 IN WPC NO. 23232/2014(D) OF THIS HON'BLE COURT.

ANNEXURE 2: COPY OF THE POSTAL ACKNOWLEDGMENT CARD SHOWING THE RECEIPT OF ANNEXURE I ON 23/10/2014.

RESPONDENT'S ANNEXURES : NIL.

//TRUE COPY//

P.A. TO JUDGE

bp

DAMA SESHADRI NAIDU, J.

Cont. Case (c) No. 63 of 2015

Dated this the 20th day of January, 2015

JUDGMENT

Earlier, this Court, through a judgment dated 07.10.2014 in W.P. (C) No. 23232/2014, directed the respondent Corporation to regularize the service of the petitioners forthwith in terms of Exhibit P12 therein. Complaining of non-compliance of the said direction by the respondent Corporation, the petitioners filed the present contempt case.

2. Today, when the matter is taken up for hearing, the learned Standing Counsel for the respondent Corporation has produced what are said to be the proceedings dated 05.01.2015 passed by the Corporation regularizing the service of the petitioners in compliance with judgment dated 07.10.2014 in W.P. (C) No. 23232/2014.

3. In the facts and circumstances, since the order of this Court stands complied with, belatedly though, this Court feels that nothing survives in the contempt case and accordingly closes it.

**DAMA SESHADRI NAIDU
JUDGE**

DMR/-