

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.MUHAMED MUSTAQUE

THURSDAY, THE 19TH DAY OF FEBRUARY 2015/30TH MAGHA, 1936

Con.Case(C).No. 44 of 2015 (S)

INTERIM ORDER DATED 17-12-2014 IN WP(C).NO.34166/2014.

.....

PETITIONER:

**SHOUKATHALI, AGED 38 YEARS,
S/O.MAMMUKUTTY, SECRETARY,
FATHIMA MEMOORIAL EDUCATIONAL TRUST,
POONATH P.O., NADAVANNOOR,
KOZHIKODE DISTRICT.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.C.A.NAVAS,
SRI.T.K.SASIKUMAR,
SRI.T.A.SHABEERALI,
SRI.P.A.SHAJI SAMAD,
SRI.SOLOMON.C.J.,
SMT.E.G.AMBILY.**

RESPONDENTS (RESPONDENTS 1 TO 3 IN WRIT PETITION):

- 1. MR.A. SHAJAHAN (I.A.S),
THE SECRETARY, EDUCATION DEPARTMENT,
GOVERNMENT OF KERALA, GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695 001.**
- 2. MR.NANDAKUMAR (I.A.S),
DIRECTOR, DIRECTOR OF COLLEGIATE EDUCATION,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695 033.**
- 3. MR.SAIDU RASHEED,
THE DIRECTOR, LBS CENTRE, NANDAVANAM,
PALAYAM, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695 033.**

**R1 & R2 BY SR. GOVT. PLEADER SRI.VIJULAL.
R3 BY ADV. SRI.K.P.SWJESH KUMAR, SC.**

**THIS CONTEMPT OF COURT CASE (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 19-02-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:**

rs.

Con.Case(C).No. 44 of 2015 (S)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S ANNEXURES:-

**ANNEXURE 1 ORIGINAL OF THE INTERIM ORDER DATED 17/12/2014 OF THIS
HONOURABLE COURT IN WP(C).NO.34166/2014.**

**ANNEXURE 2 COPY OF THE LAWYER NOTICE NO.CN LEGAL NO.1/2015
DATED 01/01/2015.**

ANNEXURE 3 COPY OF THE POSTAL RECEIPTS DATED 01/01/2015.

ANNEXURE 4 COPY OF THE POSTAL AD CARD DATED 05/01/2015.

RESPONDENT'S ANNEXURES:- NIL.

//TRUE COPY//

P.A TO JUDGE

rs.

A.MUHAMED MUSTAQUE, J.

Contempt Case (C) No.44 of 2015

Dated this the 19th day of February, 2015

JUDGMENT

This Contempt Case arise out from the interim order dated 17.12.2014 in W.P.(C) No.34166/2014. This Court by interim order, ordered that respondents 1 to 3 in the writ petition to ensure the 6th respondent College therein is having recognition for allotment of recognition of B. Ed Course for the purpose of allotting students to the B.Ed Programme. It appears that the 6th respondent College has no approval. There was an initial allotment of the students to the College. However, based on the interim direction, the allotment has been cancelled and the students have been ordered to be reallocated to some other Colleges having recognition. This is so stated in the counter affidavit filed by the second respondent.

In view of the above, recording compliance of the direction in the interim order, the Contempt Case is closed.

Sd/-

A.MUHAMED MUSTAQUE, JUDGE

ln