

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DAMA SESHADRI NAIDU

THURSDAY, THE 2ND DAY OF JULY 2015/11TH ASHADHA, 1937

Con.Case(C).No. 34 of 2015 (S) IN WP(C).27040/2014

AGAINST THE ORDER IN WP(C) 27040/2014 of HIGH COURT OF KERALA
DATED 01-12-2014

PETITIONER / PETITIONER IN THE WP(C) : -

DR.KRISHNAKUMAR M, AGED 38 YEARS,
S/O.G.MADHAVAN PILLAI, TUTOR,
DEPARTMENT OF FORENSIC MEDICINE & TOXICOLOGY,
SHREE VIDYADHIRAJA HOMEOPATHIC MEDICAL COLLEGE,
NEMOM, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM

BY ADV.SRI.S.MUHAMMED HANEEF

RESPONDENTS / RESPONDENTS NO.2 & 5 IN THE WP(C) : -

1. DR.ANILAKUMARI C.T.,
(AGE & FATHER'S NAME NOT KNOWN TO THE PETITIONER),
THE PRINCIPAL & CONTROLLING OFFICER,
GOVERNMENT HOMEOPATHIC MEDICAL COLLEGE,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM 695 001.
2. DR.SHYLAJA K.NAIR,
(AGE & FATHER'S NAME NOT KNOWN TO THE PETITIONER),
LECTURER & DRAWING AND DISBURSING OFFICER,
SHREE VIDYADHIRAJA HOMEOPATHIC MEDICAL COLLEGE,
NEMOM, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM 695 020.

R1 BY SR. GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI. K.C. VINCENT
R2 BY ADV.SRI.S.PRASANTH (AYYAPPANKAVU)
R2 BY ADV.SMT.VARSHA BHASKAR

THIS CONTEMPT OF COURT CASE (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR
ADMISSION ON 02-07-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED
THE FOLLOWING:

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S ANNEXURES :

ANNEXURE A1 : TRUE COPY OF THE INTERIM ORDER 01.12.14 IN W.P. (C)
No. 27040/2014-D ON THE FILE OF THIS HON'BLE COURT.

RESPONDENTS' ANNEXURES :

ANNEXURE R1(a) : TRUE COPY OF THE COMMUNICATION DATED 11.12.2014.

ANNEXURE R1(b) : TRUE COPY OF THE COMMUNICATION GIVEN TO
Dr. REMADEVI. P.

ANNEXURE R1(c) : TRUE COPY OF THE LETTER DATED 05.06.2014.

ANNEXURE R1(d) : TRUE COPY OF AN ORDER ISSUED TO Dr. SHYLAJA K. NAIR.

ANNEXURE R1(e) : TRUE COPY OF THE COMMUNICATION GIVEN TO THE
TREASURY OFFICER.

ANNEXURE R1(f) : TRUE COPY OF THE BILL.

ANNEXURE R1(g) : TRUE COPY OF THE LETTER DATED 12.1.15.

ANNEXURE R1(h) : TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER DATED 14.01.2015.

ANNEXURE R1(i) : TRUE COPY OF THE LETTER.

// TRUE COPY //

P.A. TO JUDGE

DMR/-

DAMA SESHADRI NAIDU, J.

Cont. Case (c) No. 34 of 2015

Dated this the 02nd day of July, 2015

JUDGMENT

None appears for the petitioner. In the morning as there was no representation, the matter stood passed over. Even in the afternoon, when the matter is taken up, yet again there is no representation. As has been reminded by the learned counsel for the respondents, on the last occasion too there was no representation for the petitioner. It appears that the petitioner does not intend to prosecute the contempt case. Accordingly, the contempt case is closed.

**DAMA SESHADRI NAIDU
JUDGE**

DMR/-