

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM**

**PRESENT:**

**THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE B.KEMAL PASHA**

**FRIDAY, THE 9TH DAY OF JANUARY 2015/19TH POUSHA, 1936**

**Bail Appl..No. 9148 of 2014 ()**

**CRIME NO. 1692/2014 OF TIRUR POLICE STATION, MALAPPURAM DISTRICT**

**PETITIONER/ACCUSED :**

**SAJITH,  
AGED 35 YEARS, S/O.RAJAN,  
THUMBERI HOUSE, NIRAMARATHOOR  
TIRUR-676101, MALAPPURAM DISTRICT  
(REGISTERED OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. KL-07-T-6676  
(GOODS CARRIAGE)**

**BY ADV. SRI.K.K.MOHAMED RAVUF**

**RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT :**

**STATE OF KERALA  
REPRESENTED BY THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,  
HIGH COURT OF KERALA  
ERNAKULAM**

**BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR SRI. SREEJITH V.S.**

**THIS BAIL APPLICATION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 09-01-2015,  
THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:**

**Mn**

**B.KEMAL PASHA, J.**

=====

B.A. No. 9148 of 2014

=====

*Dated this the 9<sup>th</sup> day of January, 2015*

**ORDER**

Petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C.

2. Petitioner is the accused in Crime No.1692 of 2014 of the Tirur Police Station registered for the offences punishable under Section 379 IPC and Sections 20 and 23 of the Kerala Protection of River Banks and Regulation of Removal of Sand Act, 2001.

3. The allegation against the petitioner is that on 17.12.2014 at 10.15 p.m., he was found transporting sand illegally collected from the river without any licence or authorization by a lorry bearing Reg.No.KL-7-T-6676. On seeing the Police party, the petitioner ran away from the spot after leaving the lorry and the sand.

4. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Public Prosecutor. Perused the CD.

5. No criminal antecedents have been reported against the petitioner. It seems that the sand illegally collected and the vehicle, have been seized in the case. Investigation

of the case is practically over. Custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required in the matter as there is nothing more to be recovered. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the absence of any criminal antecedents on his part, I am of the view that this is a fit case wherein anticipatory bail can be granted to the petitioner.

In the result, this bail application is allowed and the investigating officer or such other police officer, who is conducting the arrest of the petitioner, is directed to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of his arrest on his executing a bond for Rs.25,000/- (Rupees twenty five thousand only) with two solvent sureties for the like sum each to the satisfaction of the officer conducting arrest, and subject to the following terms and conditions:-

- (i) The petitioner shall report before the investigating officer in between 09.00 a.m. and 11.00 a.m. on all Fridays and Tuesdays commencing from 16.01.2015 for a period of three

months or till the filing of the final report in this case, whichever is earlier.

(ii) The petitioner shall not tamper with the evidence or influence witnesses.

(iii) The petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation as and when required by the investigating officer.

(iv) The petitioner shall not involve in any offence while on bail.

It is made clear that the violation of any of the conditions stipulated above will result in the cancellation of bail.

Sd/-  
B.KEMAL PASHA  
JUDGE

DSV/9/1/15

// True Copy //

*PA to Judge*