

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.ABRAHAM MATHEW

TUESDAY, THE 2ND DAY OF JUNE 2015/12TH JYASHTA, 1937

Bail Appl..No.8822 of 2014

CRIME NO.1299/2014 OF OTTAPALAM POLICE STATION,PALAKKAD.

..

PETITIONER/ACCUSED:

**RUGMINI,W/O.KUTTISANKARAN,AGED 38 YEARS,
KATTUKULAM,KADAMPAZHIPURAM,OTTAPPALAM.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.K.I.MAYANKUTTY MATHER
SRI.P.P.RAMACHANDRAN**

RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT:

- 1. STATE OF KERALA,(SHO OTTAPPALAM POLICE STATION),
REPRESENTED BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT OF KERALA,ERNAKULAM.**

***ADDITIONAL 2ND RESPONDENT IMPLEADED.**

**ADDL.R2:KADEEJA,W/O.HAMZA,PULIKKAKATH VEEDU,
OTTAPALAM-679521.**

***IS IMPLEADED AS ADDITIONAL 2ND RESPONDENT AS PER ORDER
DATED 4.2.2015 IN CRL.MA NO.723/2015 IN BA 8822/2015**

**R1 BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR SRI.V.S.SREEJITH.
R2 BY ADV.SRI.P.JAYARAM.**

**THIS BAIL APPLICATION HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 02-06-2015,
ALONG WITH BA.8815/2014, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE
FOLLOWING:**

pk

K. ABRAHAM MATHEW, J.

Bail Appl. Nos.8815 & 8822 of 2014

Dated this the 2nd day of June 2015

ORDER

Petitions filed under Sec.438 Cr.P.C.

2. The petitioner is an LIC agent. The allegation is that she received from the first informant in each of the cases certain amounts towards premium of an LIC policy and failed to remit the amounts and misappropriated them. There is also an allegation that the amount obtained as loan by pledging the policy also was misappropriated by the petitioner.

3. Heard both sides.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the allegations are false.

5. The premium payment card available in the case diary discloses receipt of the amounts by the petitioner in each of the cases. The very fact that the petitioner denies payment itself shows that her defence may not be true. There is prima facie case against her. She is not entitled to get anticipatory bail.

In the result, these bail applications are dismissed.

Sd/-

K. ABRAHAM MATHEW

JUDGE

/ True Copy /

P.A. To Judge

NS