

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.HARIPRASAD

THURSDAY, THE 17TH DAY OF DECEMBER 2015/26TH AGRAHAYANA, 1937

Bail Appl..No. 7517 of 2015

CRIME NO. 472/2015 OF KODUNGALLUR POLICE STATION, THRISSUR DISTRICT.
.....

PETITIONER:

**SUKUMARAN,
S/O.AYYAPPANKUNJI, AGED 70 YEARS,
URKOLI HOUSE, METHALA VILLAGE,
KODUNGALLUR TALUK.**

BY ADV. SRI.V.M.KRISHNAKUMAR

RESPONDENT(S):

- 1. STATE OF KERALA,
REPRESENTED BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT OF KERALA, ERNAKULAM.**
- 2. THE SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
KODUNGALLUR POLICE STATION,
THRISSUR DISTRICT - 680 001.**

BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR SMT.T.Y.LALIZA

**THIS BAIL APPLICATION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 17-12-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE
FOLLOWING:**

mbr/

A.HARIPRASAD, J.

B.A. No.7517 of 2015

Dated this the 17th day of December, 2015

ORDER

Application for pre-arrest bail under Section 438 Cr.P.C.

2. Petitioner is the accused in Crime No.472 of 2015 of Kodungallur Police Station registered for offences punishable under Sections 409, 415, 418 and 120(b) r/w Section 34 I.P.C.

3. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Public Prosecutor.

4. Petitioner is the Director Board Member of a Co-operative Bank and the former President of the Sree Narayana Samajam. Allegation against the accused is that without the consent of the account holder (Samajam) the bank issued account statement for a period of one month to the accused in the crime.

5. Another accused in the crime has secured pre-arrest bail as per order in B.A No.7463 of 2015 dated 30-11-2015. Considering the parity between other accused, I am of the view that the petitioner also stands on the same footing. Hence, following directions are

made :

1. Petitioner shall surrender before the investigating officer within a period of two weeks from today and submit himself for interrogation. In that event, he shall be released on bail on executing a bond for Rs.25,000/- (Rupees fifty thousand only) with two solvent sureties each for the like sum to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer.
2. The sureties shall produce documents to establish their identity and solvency. The Investigating Officer need not insist on the solvency certificate, but other documents can also be perused to find out whether the sureties are solvent or not.
3. The petitioner shall appear before the Investigating Officer as and when directed.
4. Petitioner shall not influence or intimidate witnesses.
5. If any of the above conditions is violated by the petitioner, the learned Magistrate having jurisdiction is free to cancel the bail.

Sd/-

**A.HARIPRASAD,
JUDGE.**

//TRUE COPY//

P.A TO JUDGE

amk