

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.HARIPRASAD

TUESDAY, THE 8TH DAY OF DECEMBER 2015/17TH AGRAHAYANA, 1937

Bail Appl..No. 7266 of 2015 ()

CRIME NO. 446/2015 OF KALIYAR POLICE STATION

PETITIONER/ACCUSED :

**SURA T.T.,
AGED 44 YEARS, THEKKEVEETIL HOUSE,
THENNATHOOR KARA, PARAPUZHA P.O.,
KODIKULAM VILLAGE, THODUPUZHA TALUK.**

BY ADV. SRI.M.V.RAJENDRAN NAIR

RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT :

**STATE OF KERALA
REPRESENTED BY THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT OF KERALA, ERNAKULAM.**

BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR SMT. R. REMA

**THIS BAIL APPLICATION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 08-12-2015,
THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:**

Mn

A.HARIPRASAD, J.

B.A.No.7266 of 2015

Dated this the 8th day of December, 2015

ORDER

Application filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

2. Petitioner is the accused in Crime No.446 of 2015 of Kaliyar Police Station registered for the offences punishable under Sections 294(b), 456, 354(A), 354(C) and 506(ii) of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Prosecution allegation is that on 17.09.2015, the petitioner took photographs of the defacto complainant, a lady, from an obscene angle while she was working in the shop of the petitioner. Thereafter, on 18.09.2015, the petitioner demanded the defacto complainant to submit herself to satiate his sexual lust. On 22.09.2015, he embraced her from the shop and tried to outrage her modesty.

4. Heard both sides.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that there is inordinate delay in filing the complaint. That apart, the defacto complainant and her husband was

managing the shop belonging to the petitioner and there was some monetary dispute. Petitioner is falsely implicated in the case.

6. I have perused the materials in the case diary. Statements of witnesses do not prompt me to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

The bail application is dismissed.

Sd/-

A.HARIPRASAD, JUDGE.

AS

/True Copy/

P.A. To Judge