

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.HARIPRASAD

THURSDAY, THE 19TH DAY OF NOVEMBER 2015/28TH KARTHIKA, 1937

Bail Appl..No. 7135 of 2015

CRIME NO. 726/2015 OF ARYANCODE POLICE STATION, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM

PETITIONER(S)/A1 TO A4:

1. SANTHOSH, S/O.KRISHNAN KUTTY PILLAI,
AGED 33 YEARS, NELLIMOODU VEEDU, CHILAMBARA DESOM.
2. RADHAKRISHNAN, S/O.KRISHNAN KUTTY PILLAI,
AGED 41 YEARS, NELLIMOODU VEEDU, CHILAMBARA DESOM.
3. RAJESH KUMAR, S/O.KRISHNAN KUTTY PILLAI,
AGED 33 YEARS, NELLIMOODU VEEDU, CHILAMBARA DESOM.
4. SALISH, S/O.SADHU KUNJU, AGED 33 YEARS,
NACHIYAR VILAKOM ROADARIKATHU VEEDU, CHILAMBARA DESOM.

BY ADVS.SRI.SASTHAMANGALAM S. AJITHKUMAR
SRI.V.S.THOSHIN

RESPONDENT(S)/COMPLAINANT:

THE SI OF POLICE,
ARYANKODE POLICE STATION, TRIVANDRUM (RURAL),
THROUGH THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT OF KERALA, ERNAKULAM.

BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR SMT.T.Y.LALIZA

THIS BAIL APPLICATION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 19-11-2015,
THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

PJ

A.HARIPRASAD, J.

B.A.No.7135 of 2015

Dated this the 19th day of November, 2015

ORDER

Application filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

2. Petitioners are the accused Nos.1 to 4 in Crime No.726 of 2015 of Aryankode Police Station originally registered for the offences punishable under Sections 143, 147, 148, 149, 452, 427, 354, 324 and 307 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act. Later, 5th accused was deleted from the array of accused and the offences under Sections 143, 147, 148, 149, and 307 of the Indian Penal were also deleted. Now remaining offences are under Sections 452, 427, 354 and 324 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. Prosecution case is that on 29.09.2015 at about 10.00 p.m., the accused persons in furtherance of their common intention trespassed into dwelling house of the defacto complainant and attacked him by using sword, chopper, iron rod etc. Prosecution case is that all the 4

accused persons used weapons.

4. I have perused the case diary and wound certificate. It shows that the defacto complainant sustained multiple injuries by user of the weapons.

5. Considering the nature of the allegations, I am not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

This bail application is dismissed.

Sd/-

A.HARIPRASAD, JUDGE.

AS

/True copy/

P.A. to Judge