

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.HARIPRASAD

MONDAY, THE 16TH DAY OF NOVEMBER 2015/25TH KARTHIKA, 1937H

Bail Appl..No. 6977 of 2015

CRIME NO. 166/2015 OF MAVELIKKARA EXCISE RANGE, ALAPPUZHA

PETITIONER(S)/ACCUSED:

**GEETHA,
D/O.JANAKI, PLAKKOTTU HOUSE, KOIPALLIKARANMA,
PERUNGALA VILLAGE, MAVELIKKARA,
ALAPPUZHA DISTRICT, PIN-690 510.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.S.RANJIT (KOTTAYAM)
SRI.GOKUL DAS V.V.H.**

RESPONDENT(S)/COMPLAINANT :

**EXCISE INSPECTOR,
MAVELIKKARA EXCISE RANGE,
REPRESENTED BY THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT OF KERALA, ERNAKULAM.**

BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR SMT.TY.LALIZA

**THIS BAIL APPLICATION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 16-11-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE
FOLLOWING:**

Msd.

A.HARIPRASAD, J.

B.A No.6977 of 2015

Dated this the 16th day of November, 2015.

ORDER

Application for pre-arrest bail under Section 438 Cr.P.C.

2. Petitioner is the accused in Crime No.166 of 2015 of Mavelikkara Excise Range registered for an offence punishable under Section 55(i) of the Abkari Act.

3. Prosecution case, in short, is that on 16-09-2015 at about 7.30 p.m., the Excise party received a discreet information regarding the sale of liquor in the house of the petitioner. On a raid, it was found that 700 ml of Indian Made Foreign Liquor was stored in the house of the petitioner.

4. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Public Prosecutor.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that she is innocent of all allegations. Learned Public Prosecutor opposed the bail application by contending that she is involved in a similar offence earlier. Considering the nature of allegations and the

provisions in Section 41A of the Abkari Act, I am not inclined to grant pre-arrest bail to the petitioner. Hence, the bail application is dismissed.

**Sd/-
A.HARIPRASAD,
JUDGE.**

//True copy//

P.A to Judge

amk