

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.HARIPRASAD

FRIDAY, THE 20TH DAY OF NOVEMBER 2015/29TH KARTHIKA, 1937

Bail Appl..No. 6903 of 2015

CRIME NO. 1127/2014 OF ALAPPUZHA NORTH POLICE STATION, ALAPPUZHA

PETITIONER(S)ACCUSED NO.4:

**JAYESH, AGED 21 YEARS,
S/O.JAYAKUMAR, PUTHENPARAMBU VEEDU, S.ARYAD,
AVALUKKUNNUU P.O., ALAPPUZHA.**

BY ADV. SRI.P.SHANES METHAR

RESPONDENT(S):

**STATE OF KERALA,
REPRESENTED BY THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT OF KERALA, ERNAKULAM-682 031.**

BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR SMT.T.Y.LALIZA

**THIS BAIL APPLICATION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 20-11-2015,
THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:**

PJ

A.HARIPRASAD, J.

B.A.No.6903 of 2015

Dated this the 20th day of November, 2015

ORDER

Application filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

2. Petitioner seeks pre-arrest bail in Crime No.1127 of 2014 of Alappuzha North Police Station registered for the offences punishable under Sections 143, 147, 148, 149, 324, 294(b), 326, 447 and 323 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Heard both sides.

4. It is seen from the order of the learned Sessions Judge, Alappuzha in CrI.M.P.No.4664 of 2014, that the plea for anticipatory bail of the petitioner was considered on 04.12.2014, and he was directed to surrender on or before 10.12.2014 for interrogation. Certain directions are also given in the bail order. The petitioner has approached this Court again with a second application under Section 438 Cr.P.C. It is clear that the learned Sessions Judge has considered the matter and appropriate orders have been

passed.

5. Considering the nature of the order, I am of the view that the second application is not maintainable. If he could not comply with the conditions in the bail order for valid reasons, he may convince the Sessions Judge and seek appropriate orders.

With this observations, the bail application is dismissed.

Sd/-
A.HARIPRASAD, JUDGE.

AS

/True Copy/

P.A. to Judge