

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.HARIPRASAD

MONDAY, THE 26TH DAY OF OCTOBER 2015/4TH KARTHIKA, 1937

Bail Appl..No. 6050 of 2015

CRIME NO. 1152/2015 OF SAKTHIKULANGARA POLICE STATION, KOLLAM
.....

PETITIONER(S)/ACCUSED NO.1:

**VINOD, AGED 32 YEARS,
S/O. AUGUSTIN @ THANKACHAN, MADATHIL KAYALVARAM,
SAKTHIKULANGARA VILLAGE, KUREEPUZHA P.O.,
KOLLAM DISTRICT.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.C.RAJENDRAN
SRI.K.R.RANJITH**

RESPONDENT(S)/COMPLAINANT:

**STATE OF KERALA,
REPRESENTED BY THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT OF KERALA, ERNAKULAM.**

BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR SMT.R.REMA

**THIS BAIL APPLICATION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
26-10-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:**

msv/

A.HARIPRASAD, J

Bail Appl. No.6050 of 2015

Dated this the 26th day of October, 2015

ORDER

Bail application filed under Sec.438 Cr.P.C.

2. The petitioner is the 1st accused in Crime No.1152 of 2015 of Sakthikulangara Police Station registered for the offences punishable under Sections 294(b), 452, 324 and 307 read with 34 of IPC seeks pre arrest bail.

3. Heard both sides.

4. The prosecution case is that on 12.08.2015 at about 21.30 hours, the petitioner, along with the 2nd accused, trespassed into the house of the de facto complainant with the common intention of committing murder, and attacked them with lethal weapons like swords.

5. After hearing the learned Counsel on both sides and after considering the submission of the learned Prosecutor that the petitioner is involved in six other cases of serious nature, I am of the view that the

petitioner is not entitled to get any favourable order under
Section 438 of Cr.P.C.

In the result, the bail application is dismissed.

Sd/-
A.HARIPRASAD
JUDGE

//TRUE COPY//

P.A. TO JUDGE

AD