

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM**

**PRESENT:**

**THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.HARIPRASAD**

**WEDNESDAY, THE 30TH DAY OF SEPTEMBER 2015/8TH ASWINA, 1937**

**Bail Appl..No. 5960 of 2015 ()**

**CRIME NO. 615/2015 OF ARUVIKKARA POLICE STATION,  
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM DISTRICT**

**PETITIONERS/ACCUSED :**

- 1. ANEESH  
S/O.AUGUSTINE, AGED 23  
ANEESH BHAVAN, MYLADUMPARA  
KACHANI, KARAKULAM VILLAGE  
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM DISTRICT.**
- 2. AJESH  
S/O.AUGUSTINE, AGED 21  
ANEESH BHAVAN, MYLADUMPARA  
KACHANI, KARAKULAM VILLAGE  
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM DISTRICT.**

**BY ADV. SRI. LATHEESH SEBASTIAN**

**RESPONDENTS/STATE AND COMPLAINANT :**

- 1. STATE OF KERALA  
REPRESENTED BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR  
HIGH COURT OF KERALA, ERNAKULAM -682 031.**
- 2. SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE  
ARUVIKKARA POLICE STATION  
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM DISTRICT-695 041.**

**R1 & R2 BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR SMT. R. REMA**

**THIS BAIL APPLICATION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 30-09-2015,  
THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:**

**Mn**

**A.HARIPRASAD, J.**

-----  
**B.A.No.5960 of 2015**  
-----

Dated this the 30<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2015

**O R D E R**

Application filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

2. Petitioner is the accused in Crime No.615 of 2015 of Aruvikara Police Station registered for the offences punishable under Sections 294(b), 447, 354, 323, 324 and 308 r/w Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Prosecution case is that the accused person due to enmity towards the *de facto* complainant, with the common intention to cause culpable homicide armed with weapons attacked the *de facto* complainant on 23.08.2015 at about 07.15 p.m. by criminally trespassing into the compound of the *de facto* complainant.

4. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Public Prosecutor.

5. The *de facto* complainant and the accused are relatives. The petitioner remains in custody from 24.08.2015 onwards. Investigation has advanced to a

considerable extent. Further detention of the petitioner is not necessary. Hence, I am inclined to grant bail to the petitioner with the following conditions:

- (a) The petitioner shall be released on bail on her executing a bond for ₹50,000/- (Rupees fifty thousand only) with two solvent sureties for the like sum to the satisfaction of the learned magistrate having jurisdiction.
- (b) The sureties shall produce documents to establish their identity and solvency. The learned Magistrate need not insist on solvency certificate, but other documents can also be perused to find out whether the sureties are solvent or not.
- (c) The petitioner shall appear before the Investigating Officer on all Mondays and Thursdays between 10.00 a.m and 11.00 a.m. until the final report is filed.
- (d) The petitioner shall not intimidate or attempt to influence the witnesses.

- (e) The petitioner shall not in any manner interfere or meddle with the investigation.
- (f) The petitioner shall not, during the period of this bail get involved in any offence.

In case any of the above conditions is violated, bail granted hereby is liable to be cancelled for which the investigating officer may move application before the jurisdictional magistrate.

Sd/-  
**A.HARIPRASAD, JUDGE.**

AS

/True Copy/

P.A to Judge