

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.ABRAHAM MATHEW

TUESDAY, THE 28TH DAY OF JULY 2015/6TH SRAVANA, 1937

Bail Appl..No. 4398 of 2015 ()

CRIME NO. 590/2015 OF KURATHIKADU POLICE STATION, ALAPPUZHA DIST.

.....

PETITIONER/2ND ACCUSED:

**ANSAL, AGED 20 YEARS,
S/O.NASAR, POOVANNANTHADATHIL VEEDU,
PALAMEL, P/W-8, KUDASSANAD MURI,
PALAMEL VILLAGE, NOORNADU, ALAPPUZHA.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.R.SUNIL KUMAR,
SMT.A.SALINI LAL.**

RESPONDENTS/COMPLAINANTS:

**STATE OF KERALA,
REPRESENTED BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT OF KERALA, ERNAKULAM- 682 031.**

BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR SRI.V.S. SREEJITH.

**THIS BAIL APPLICATION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 28-07-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE
FOLLOWING:**

rs.

K. ABRAHAM MATHEW, J.

B.A. No. 4398 of 2015

Dated this the 28th day of July, 2015

ORDER

Petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C.

2. Petitioner is said to have committed the offence under Section 379 of the India Penal Code.

3. The prosecution case is that he along with the co-accused followed the victim on a motor cycle while she was walking along a public road and snatched her bag containing Rs.3000/- (Rupees Three thousand only), two mobile phones, one pen drive and two ATM cards together worth Rs.13,000/- (Rupees Thirteen thousand only). The learned counsel submits that taking into account the period of custody he may be granted bail.

4. Heard.

5. The modus operandi of the petitioner and the co-accused compels me to take a view that if the petitioner is released on bail at this stage there is every likelihood of his repeating it. One of the co-accused is a

juvenile. So it is clear that the petitioner took the assistance of the juvenile to commit the offence. Having regard to all these facts I am not inclined to grant the prayer of the petitioner for bail at this stage.

In the result, this application is dismissed.

sd/-

K. ABRAHAM MATHEW
JUDGE

R.AV

//True Copy//

PA to Judge