

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.ABRAHAM MATHEW

THURSDAY, THE 9TH DAY OF JULY 2015/18TH ASHADHA, 1937

Bail Appl..No. 3837 of 2015 ()

CRIME NO. 463/2015 OF KOIPURAM POLICE STATION, PATHANAMTHITTA

PETITIONERS/ACCUSED A2 AND A5 :

1. UNNI @ PRAVEEN, AGED 25 YEARS
S/O. PRASANNAN, CHATHENPARA, MANNIL HOUSE,
PULLADU P.O., KOIPURAM VILLAGE, KOZHENCHERRY TALUK,
PATHANAMTHITTA DISTRICT
2. VISAKH @ UNNI, AGED 22 YEARS,
S/O. PK RAJAN, PARAKATHATHIL HOUSE, POOVATHUR MURI,
KOIPURAM VILLAGE, KOZHENCHERRY TALUK,
PATHANAMTHITTA DISTRICT
3. MAHESH, AGED 21 YEARS,
S/O. RAMAKRISHNAPILLAI, MAKKUVASSERIL HOUSE,
POOVATHUR MURI, KOIPURAM VILLAGE, KOZHENCHERRY TALUK,
PATHANAMTHITTA DISTRICT
4. PREJETH KUMAR, AGED 23 YEARS,
S/O. PRASANNAN, CHATHENPARA MANNIL HOUSE,
PULLADU PO KOIPURAM VILLAGE, KOZHENCHERRY TALUK,
PATHANAMTHITTA DISTRICT
5. ASWIN, AGED 21 YEARS,
S/O.SURESH KUMAR, KADAYEKKAL HOUSE, POVATHU MURI,
KOIPURAM VILLAGE, KOZHENCHERRY TALUK,
PATHANAMTHITTA DISTRICT

BY ADV. SRI.AJITH MURALI

RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT :

STATE OF KERALA
REPRESENTED BY THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT OF KERALA, ERNAKULAM

BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR SMT.R. REMA

THIS BAIL APPLICATION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 09-07-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE
FOLLOWING:

bp

K.ABRAHAM MATHEW, J.

B.A.No.3837 of 2015

Dated this the 9th day of July, 2015

ORDER

Petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C.

2. Petitioners are alleged to have committed the offences under Sections 143,147,148,323,324,365,341 and r/w Section 149 of IPC.

3. The prosecution case is that the petitioners forcibly took the victim on a motorcycle, wrongfully restrained him and assaulted him with sticks and hands.

4. Heard.

5. The wound certificate of the victim shows that he sustained injuries on different parts of his body including head. There was blunt injury on the back. The nature of the injuries make probable the prosecution case that the victim was assaulted with sticks and hands. It was very cruel on the part of the petitioners to commit such offences. Learned counsel submits that the petitioners 2 to 4 are students of B.Com course. Their age indicates that they are not serious students. Merely because they are students, anticipatory bail cannot be granted to them.

In the result, this application is dismissed.

**K.ABRAHAM MATHEW
JUDGE**